Resource

HORTICULTURAL RESEARCH INSTITUTE OF ONTARIO

Research Report #34

MUCK VEGETABLE CULTIVAR TRIALS and RESEARCH REPORTS

1984

M. Valk E. Knibbe H. Burbidge MUCK RESEARCH STATION
R.R. 1, KETTLEBY ONT. LOG 1JO



MUCK RESEARCH STATION, HOLLAND MARSH, R.R. # 1 KETTLEBY, ONTARIO, LOG 1JO RESEARCH AND CULTIVAR TRIAL REPORT FOR 1984

INDEX

Index Seed Sources		P		1 2
Research Projects - Subsidence and water - Onion transplant meth - Weed control and bar - Herbicide and buckwhe - Effect of granular for - Carrot storage environ - Celery storage environ - Micro-Mist treatment - Celery storage treatment - Celery storage durate	ds y winbreak in control t windbreak in row insecticide ment study ment trial f celery for st nt trial	carrots e on cavity spot	1 1 1 1	4568012346
Cultivar Trials Carrots - Packaging Types - Processing Types	Early Maturing Storage and L. Adaptation Mineral soil Main Storage and L.	T.A. Storage	1 2 2 2 2 3 3 3 3	7 9 21 22 4 28 30 32 34 35 38
Cauliflower	Main Adaptation			10
Celery	Storage Bolting Observation		4	12 13 14
Lettuce	Head - midsumm Romaine - mids	mer-late and adaptat summer		16
Onion	Main Storage and L. Long Term Aver Adaptation Red Trial	T.A.Storage rage (coloured Page)	5 5 5	50 52 54 57 52
Parsnips	Main		6	53

SEED SOURCES - 1984

We wish to express our sincere thanks to all those who provided us with seed for trials.

- A&C Abbott & Cobb Inc., Box 307, Feasterville, Pa., U.S.A., 19047
- A.Ch. Alf. Christianson Seed Co., Box 98, Mount Vernon, Washington, U.S.A. 98273
- Agri Agri-Seed & Chemical Corp., 850 Dryden Rd., Metamora, Mi., U.S.A. 48455
- Agw Agway Inc., Seed Division, Box 4933, Syracuse, New York, U.S.A., 13221
- AREC Agricultural Research and Education Centre, University of Florida, P.O. Drawer A, Belle Glade, Florida, U.S.A., 33430
- ARCO ARCO Seed Co., Box 181, El Centro, California, U.S.A., 92244-0181
- ARZ See: Nickerson Zwaan
- Asg Asgrow Seed Co., Box 610, Bradford, Ontario, Canada, LOG 1CO
- Asm Asmer Seeds Ltd., Ash St., St. Leicester, England, LE5 ODD
- BEJO Beemsterboer & Jacob Jong Seed Co.Ltd., Box 9 Noordscharwoude, Holland
- BrS Bradford Shippers Ltd., 270 Holland St. E., Bradford, Ontario, Canada, LOG 1CO Attention: Mr. McAlliston or Mr. Dorowski
- Bru Bruinsma BV, Box 24 Naaldwijk, 2670AA, Holland
- Cro Crookham Company, Box 520, Caldwell, Idaho, U.S.A. 83605
- DeR DeRuiter Zonen BV, Bleiswijk, Holland
- DES Dessert Seed Co., See: ARCO
- DP See: Nickerson Zwaan
- E.J. Erie James Produce, P.O. Box 457, Leamington, Ontario, Canada, N8H 3W5
- ES Elsoms Seeds Ltd., Spadling, Lincolnshire, England, PEl 1QG
- EZ EnzaZaden, P.O. Box 7 Enkhuisen, Holland
- FM Ferry-Morse Seed Co., 111 Ferry Morse Way, P.O. Box 7274, Mountainview, California, U.S.A. 94942
- Glo Global Seeds, Box 1617, Gilroy, California, U.S.A. 95020 Gebroeders Broersen BV, See: Nickerson Zwaan
- Guz Prof. V.L. Guzman, See: AREC
- HM Harris Moran Seeds of Canada, R.R.#2 Hamilton, Ontario, Canada, L8N 2Z7
- IPB International Plant Breeders S.A., Chemin de St-Marc, 84120 Pertuis, France
- JHK J.H. Klitgord, Box 87, Mayville, New York, U.S.A. 14757.

Jung J.W. Jung Seed Co., Randolph, Wisconsin, U.S.A. 53956

K.Br. Kees Broersen, Bogtmanweg 7, 1747 HV Tuitjenhorn, Holland

Key Keystone Seed Co., See: Sun Seeds

Kru Krummrey & Sons Inc., Stockbridge, Michigan, U.S.A. 49285

MSU Michigan State University, Dept. of Horticulture, East Lansing, Michigan, U.S.A. 48824

NIPB Nickerson International Plant Breeders, S.A., P.O. Box 1787 Gilroy, California, U.S.A. 95021-1787

NK Northrup King & Co., 1500 Jackson St., N.E. Minneapolis, Minn., U.S.A. 55413

NZ Nickerson-Zwaan BV, Gebroken Meeldyk 74, Box 19 2900A Barendrecht, Holland

NUN Nunhem's Zaden BV, Box 4005, 6080AA, Haelen, Holland

OhE J.E. Ohlsens Enke, NY Munkegaard, DK-2630 Taastrup, Denmark

PES Peter Edward Seed Co., 115 Cardinal Lane, Eustris, Florida, U.S.A. 32726

PETO PetoSeed Co., Inc., Box 4206, Saticoy, California, U.S.A. 93004

Quali-Sel-Seeds, Box 311 Parma, Idaho, U.S.A., 83660

RS Royal Sluis Inc., 1293, Harkins Rd., Salinas, California, U.S.A. 93901 Royal Sluis Inc., Box 22, 1600AA, Enkhuizen, The Netherlands

Sak Sakata & Co., C.P.O. Box Yokohama No. 11, Yokohama, 220-91, Japan

SG Sluis en Groot, Box 13 Enkhuizen, The Netherlands and/or Sluis en Groot of America Inc., 124 Griffen, St., Salinas, California, U.S.A. 93901-3786

Sha Charles Sharpe & Co. Ltd., Seaford Lincs, England, NG3 47HA

SS Seed Service Inc., See: J.W. Jung Seed Co.,

Sto Stokes Seeds Ltd., 39 James St., P.O. Box 10 St. Catherines, Ontario, Canada, L2R 6R6

Sun Seeds, 9531 West 78th St., Suite 229, Eden Praire, Minn., U.S.A. 55344

Swy Seedway Inc., Hal, New York, U.S.A. 14463

Tak Takii Co. Ltd., Box 7, Kyoto Central 180 Umbekosi-Inokuma, Kyotoa, Japan, 600-91

Toz A.L. Tozer Ltd., Cobham, Survey, England, KT11-3EH

Twi Otis S. Twilley Seed Co., Box 65 Trevosa, PA., U.S.A. 19047

UnS Union Seed Co., Box 339, Nampa, Idaho, U.S.A., 83651

USDA U.S. Dept. of Agriculture, Dr. C.E. Peterson, Dept. of Horticulture University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A. 53706

UW University of Wisconsin, Dept. of Hort., 1575 Linden Drive, Madison, Wisconsin, U.S.A. 53706. Attention Dr. Gabelman.

VDH Vandershave, Box 1, 4420AA, Kapelle 3648, Holland

Ves Vesey's Seeds Ltd., York, Prince Edward Island, Canada, COA 1PO

Vil Vilmorin La Menitre, 49250 Beaufort-en-Vallee, France

Subsidence, or the lowering of the surface elevation of organic soils, will, if unchecked, eventually lead to the disappearance of the layer of peat soil, as has already happened in the fringe areas of the Holland Marsh.

This process can be slowed down by maintaining a proper water table. The water table should be kept high enough to reduce the rate of subsidence, and at the same time low enough for optimum growth and crop yields.

Rate of Subsidence: Dr. R.S. Irwin of the School of Engineering of the University of Guelph, found that the rate of subsidence at the Muck Research Station was 2.8 cm per year when the water table was maintained below 1.17 m (3' 10").

Other research showed that the rate of subsidence is $7\frac{1}{2}$ times higher at a water table of 1.50 m (5 ft) below the surface, compared to a water table only 70 cm below the surface (Zubets 1974).

Tile drainage combined with water table control: In 1975 a new tile-drain system was installed at the Muck Research Station according to a plan drawn up by Ralph Gregg, P. Eng. and Ralph Elliott, Eng. Assistant, of the O.M.A.F. office in Newmarket. The lateral lines were 10 cm (4") plastic tubing 17 m (57 ft) apart at a depth of 90 cm (3 ft) at the upper end and 1.40 m at the main drain. The outlet of each lateral line can be raised or lowered to regulate the water table in the area serviced by the drain. The upper end of the drains are connected by pipeline to the canal and by gravity, or pumps and valves, the water can be fed into the tile drains. After the initial spring drainage period, the water table was maintained at 90 cm for carrots, and at 50-70 cm for onions, celery, lettuce, potatoes, and caulifower. During the winter season the water table was kept at 50 cm or less.

SUBSIDENCE AT THE MUCK RESEARCH STATION:

	Drainage Method	Per period	Subsidence Per year
1955-'75: Tile	ditches widely spaced	11 cm (4.3")	1.1 cm
	drains (installed in 1957):	61.6 cm (24.3")	3.1 cm
	tile drains and water table control system:	6.4 cm (2.5")	0.8 cm
	I subsidence	* 79.0 cm or 31 inches	in 28 years

^{*} According to independent surveys by Dr. Irwin and R. Elliott

The results show that a good water table control system, closely monitored, can reduce the subsidence from 3.1 cm ($1\frac{1}{4}$ inches) to 0.8 cm (0.3 inches) per year. This means that if a layer of 60 cm (2 ft) of organic soil is tile drained without water table control, it will last less than 20 years, but if the water table is rigidly controlled it will last 75 years.

ONION CULTIVAR TRANSPLANT TRIAL - 1984

On January 12, 1984 in a replicated trial, 6 seeds of cv Tamarack were seeded in 10 cm plastic pots. On Feb 22, 6 seeds of the cultivar Norstar were seeded in each 3 X 3 X 7 cm cell of the "Speedling " trays (200 per tray) and similarly in 4 X 4 cm compressed soil blocks. All treatments were replicated 3 times. The plants were grown in a greenhouse, kept trimmed at a height of 12 cm, and transplanted to the field on May 8 in rows 43 cm apart and 15 cm in the row being the equivalent of 40 plants/m. Half of each treatment was covered with "Reemay" a white spun-bounded polyester, which is porous to water and transmits 75% of incident light. The cover was removed on June 15. When the tops went down on July 23 the bulbs were pulled. The bulbs were harvested on July 31.

	Marketa	able			
	Yield		# Weeds/	Height of	%
Treatments	t/ha	b/a	Plot	Weeds(cm)	Seeders
Speedling	45.9	816	4	2.5	0
Speedling + row cover	50.0	890	14	10	0
Soil Blocks	39.7	706	3	3	0
Soil Blocks + row cover	46.2	823	11	6	0
Pots + row cover	47.5	846	of ter 140	ht-Falo.	37

In a comparable direct seeded trial the tops of the cv. Norstar went down on August. 17. The bulbs were lifted on Sept. 14 and at harvest on Sept 25 the yield was 65.1 t/ha (1159 b/a).

At 2 ¢ per cell of 5 to 6 plants, the cost of transplants would be \$2,900/ha (\$1,200/acre).

Onion sets in the Leamington area are harvested approximately July 20. The average yield is approximately 400 bags/acre (Fruit and Vegetable Report - Economics Branch).

The onion cultivar Aries was seeded May 7 in rows 43 cm apart. Each plot consisted of 8 rows, 43 cm apart and 5 m long. Replicated 3 times.

l row of barley (Bruce) was added to each plot to study the effect of herbicides on barley. The tractor mounted boomsprayer applied 550 L/ha at 140 kPa (20 psi) except in the case of Poast + Assist when 300 L/ha was applied.

Dates applied and plant stage:

May 16:	Pre-emergence to onions, barley less than 5 cm high
May 23:	Onions early loop stage, early a.m., cloudy
June 13:	Post 1; onions early 2nd leaf, early a.m., after light rain
June 15:	Post 2; 2nd true leaf, a.m., sunny, cool after
June 22:	Post 3; early 3rd true leaf, leaves dry
June 25:	Post 4; 3rd leaf, applied in evening
June 26-29:	Post 5; Randox mixtures and CIPC mixtures applied early a.m., with
	dew; all others applied in evening.
July 4:	Post 6; 1 L Totril/ha applied on cloudy day with drop nozzles to prevent
	crop damage
July 6:	Post 7; early a.m., very foggy and humid
	. 0 550
July 18:	Post 8; a.m.
July 25:	Post 9; treatments applied early a.m., with dew on leaves except Goal which
	was applied in the afternoon, clear, mostly sunny.
July 31;	Post 10; middle of day, humid.

The main weeds present were: barnyard grass, pigweed, prostrate pigweed, potato weed, lamb's-quarters, and purslane. In view of the extremely high numbers of barnyard grass it was decided to spray the check plots with Poast as well. However, the not-weeded plot had to be destroyed as the weeds interfered with the adjoining plots.

Results:

By far the best results were obtained by the Ramrod treatments; only 3 applications controlled broadleaf weeds as well as grass, with pratically no hand weeding. Also, the Goal and Poast treatments were very good, very low weeding cost and good yields/ha. The highest yield per ha was received from the Randox mixtures, although the weeding cost offset the better yield. The high cost of weeding the CIPC-Totril tank-mixture treatment was the result of very poor prostrate pigweed control.

6

WEED CONTROL AND BARLEY WINDBREAK ERADICATION IN ONIONS - 1984 -continued

Treatments:	Rate (product)/ha		Post		July 5			\$ Weeding	
Pre	Loop	Post	Plant Stages	% Crop Damage	% Barley Control	% BLW Control	% Grass Control	Cost /ha	Yield t/ha
2.5 L Gramoxone	18 L CIPC	6 L CIPC + 200 ml Totril 1.9 L Poast + Assist	1,5,7,9 3,5,6*,7,9 2,8,10	3 9	96	57	78	2,637	62
2.5 Gramoxone	18 L CIPC	6 L CIPC + 208 ml Goal 1.9 L Poast + Assist	1,5,7,9 1,5,9 4,8,10	7	93	88	95	1,499	61
625 ml Goal***		208 ml Goal 1.9 L Poast + Assist	1,5,7 2,8,10	12	90	95	57	140	61
2.5 L Gramoxone	16 L Ramrod (early loop)	16 L Ramrod	1,7	1	52** ** P	97 oast appl	100 ied to b	48 arley o	63 only
2.5 L Gramoxone	2 kg Bladex	200 ml Totril 1.9 L Poast + Assist	3,5,6*,9 2,8,10	17	90	65	72	783	57
2.5 L Gramoxone	14 L Randox 5 L CIPC	5 L Randox + 2.5L Tok or 200 ml Totril	1,5,7,8 5,7 3,6*,9	5	88	90	97	572	66
Check Hand Weeded		1.9 L Poast + Assist	2,8,10	10	90	Hand W	eeded	9,831	36
Check Not Weeded		1.9 L Poast + Assist	2,8,10	100	93	0	93	Plots	destroyed

^{*} Treatment: Post 6 consisted of 1 L/ha Totril applied with drop nozzle to weeds only.

^{***} Research done in New York State by Dr. Roy Ellerbrock indicated that Goal, applied at 364 and 728 ml/ha pre-emergence to the onions caused a severe reduction in stand, when rain followed the application.

¹ ha = 2.5 acre 1 fl. oz. = 28 ml

⁺ means: tankmixed

EFFECT OF HERBICIDES ON BUCKWHEAT USED FOR WINDABATEMENT IN CARROTS - 1984

The carrot cultivar Chancellor was seeded on May 30 in rows 43 cm apart at 80 seeds/m. A row of buckwheat was seeded between each two carrot rows at 100 seeds/m. Each plot consisted of 8 carrot rows and 7 buckwheat rows 4 m long. Each treatment was replicated 3 times. A tractor mounted boomsprayer was used, equipped with T jet #8006 nozzles, applying 550 L per ha at 140 kPa (20 psi).

TREATMENTS

Pre-emergence:

Gesagard at 0; lkg; and 2 kg/ha applied one day after seeding. Weed control was good at both rates, although not many weeds emerged in the non-sprayed check plots. The Gesagard treatments had no apparent effect on the development of the buckwheat . It emerged very quickly in all plots, and grew much faster than the carrots, providing excellent wind protection.

Post-emergence:

Applied on June 21, 3 weeks after seeding when the carrots had only 2 true leaves. The buckwheat was already 20 cm (8") high, growing very fast, and appeared to be getting past the stage of effective weed control with herbicides.

EFFECT OF HERBICIDES ON BUCKWHEAT USED FOR WINDABATMENT IN CARROTS - 1984 -continued

	% BLW %		% Buckwheat Control	The second secon		# Weeds/m ² July 24				Mkb Yield t/ha	
Trade Name	Product/ha	July 3	July 3	July 3	GR	PP	PW	PU	0	Oct. 9	
Lorox (50WP)	2 X 1.1 kg	96	100	4	1	1	1	1	0	71	
Lorox (50WP)	2.2 kg	88	98	14	4	2	0	0	1	67	
Maloran (50W)	2.2 kg	82	94	6	4	1	0	2	1	70	
Patoran (50WP)	2.0 kg	78	98	36	16	1	0	3	2	72	
Afesin (200g/L)	5.0 L	92	100	37	2	2	3	3	2	65	
Herbicidal Oil	660.0 L	66	54	2	3	6	7	3	2	61	
Check, not weeded		0	0	0		PL	OTS D	ESTRO	YED		
BLW = broadleaf weeds	PP =	prostrate p	igweed		BY =	barn	yard	grass		PU = Purslar	ne
GR = groundsel	PW =	pigweed			0 =	othe	rs				

Results:

All herbicides, except herbicidal oil, eradicated the buckwheat over a period of two weeks, during which time it still acted as a windbreak. Herbicidal oil killed the buckwheat very quickly, thereby eliminating the usefulness of the buckwheat as a windbreak at a time when some protection was still needed. Shortly after, 46% of the plants started to grow again and were removed by hand on July 17.

From this trial, done for only one year, it appears that buckwheat is very well suited as a windbreak in carrots, provided it is eradicated in time. The buckwheat does not seem to be affected by Gesagard applied pre-emergence. Most post-emergence herbicides, provided they are applied before the buckwheat is over 20 cm high, will eradicate the buckwheat over a 2 to 3 week period.

The cultivar Six-Pak, which is considered tolerant to cavity spot and the cv. Royal Chantenay, considered to be susceptible to cavity spot were seeded on May 31 in a deep muck soil at 100 seeds/m.

Granular insecticides chlorfenvinfos (BIRLANE) at 2.2 kg ai/ha and carbofuran (FURADAN) at 2.2 kg ai/ha were applied in the seed furrow with the seed and compared to an untreated check.

All treatments replicted three times. Normal management practices were followed.

Results: From this trial it appears there is a varietal difference and secondly that Furadan and Birlane adversely affect the incidence of cavity spot in a susceptible cultivar.

	No Furrow Treatemnt	Carbofuran (FURADAN)	Chlorfenvinfos (BIRLANE)	Average
Yield/Plot (g)				
Six-Pak Royal Chantenay	4133 4783	3883 4233	4200 5183	4072 4733
Average	<u>4458</u>	<u>4058</u>	<u>4692</u>	
%	100%	91%	105%	
Plants/Meter				
Six-Pak Royal Chantenay	48.3 50.7	42.7 44.7	49.3 48.7	46.4
Average	<u>49.5</u> 100%	<u>43.7</u> 88%	49 <u>.0</u> 99%	
Unmarketable Roots Due to Cavity Spot		7.7.7.7.7.7.7		7,7 7,
Six-Pak	0	0	1.67	
Royal Chantenay	0	5.0	8.33	

CARROT STORAGE ENVIRONMENT STUDY 1983-84

470 kg carrots of the variety Chancellor were stored in each of 3 types of storages.

- 1. A common storage, where the temperature was lowered as quickly as the weather permitted to 0° C and the relative humidity (RH) was kept as high as possible by using the exhaust fans on high humidity days only. The temperature reached 1° C by December first and the RH was usually between 60 and 80%.
- 2. A refrigerated temperature and relative humidity controlled storage where the temperature was kept at 0°C to 1°C and the relative humidity at 90 to 95%.
- 3. A "Filacell" type of storage where the temperature was kept at 1.5° C and the relative humidity at 98%.

The roots were harvested mechanically on Oct. 28/83 and data was taken 7 months later on May 29/84

Storage Type	Shrinkage %	Decay %	Marketable wt %	Quality *
Common	13.5	1.9	84.5%	3
Refrigerated	9.6	7.0	83.4%	4+
"Filacell"	6.9	6.9	86.2%	4

The roots kept in the common storage showed more signs of shrinking, some wilting and had less eye appeal. The decay in all three storage environments was mostly <u>Sclerotinia sclerotiorum</u>.

^{* 5 =} most desirable

CELERY STORAGE ENVIRONMENT TRIAL 1984/85

In a 5 times replicated trial, 60 celery stalks (per replication) were harvested, weighed, trimmed, and placed upright in pallet boxes. The celery was drenched with a solution of 3.3 L of 6% sodium hypochlorite per 1000 L water, and stored in a "Filacell" type of storage where the temperature was controlled at 1.5 C and the relative humidity at above 95%. The pallet boxes with celery were placed so that the air stream flow of the "Filacell" unit was passing by these boxes, but not directly in the air stream flow.

The 15 cm wide boards of the boxes are spaced 2 to 3 cm apart to allow for air circulation. Data was taken after a storage period of 80 days. It was found that the celery stalks placed near the outer edge of the boxes wilted much more than those in the centre of the box.

			Reason unmarketable % by weight							
TREATMENT	Quality*	% Mkb1	Storage Shrinkage	Wilted Stalks	Black Stem	Decay	Loss of Color			
Outer Edge	2.3	12	16	60	3	7	2			
Center of box	3.3	40	13	13	4	24	6			

^{* 5 =} most desirable

MICRO-MIST TREATMENT OF CELERY FOR STORAGE - 1984

The cv. Florida 683 was seeded in a greenhouse on April 30 and planted by hand in a deep peat soil on June 18 at a spacing of 57 X 15 cm. Fertilizer was broadcast prior to planting at 750 kg/ha of 10-0-50 + 20 kg/ha Borax as required by soil tests. Two times a sidedressing of 200 kg/ha of Chilean Nitrate was drilled into the soil and irrigation was applied when less than 22 mm of precipitation per week was received. The water table was kept at 50 cm below soil level. Normal crop management procedures were followed. Foliar sprays of 1 kg/ha Solubor were added to the fungicide sprays on two occasions.

Micro-Mist, a liquid Norwegian seaweed extract, was applied as a foliar spray to part of the plot at a rate of 3 L/500 L water/ha on June 20, July 16, Aug. 2, 17 and 31. After harvest on Sept. 10 the Micro-Mist treated celery stalks were drenched with a solution of 1 L Micro-Mist per 100 L water. All treatments replicated three times.

The celery stalks were placed upright in open rigid plastic containers with small holes in the sides and bottom. They were stored in two storage types: a refrigerated storage where the temperature was kept between 0 and 1° C with a relative humidity between 80 and 100% and secondly in a "Filacell" type of storage at a forced air controlled temperature of 1.5° C and a relative humidity of 95 to 100%.

After 82 days in storage data was taken.

			Reasons			
Treatments	Quality*	% Marketable	Shrinkage and Wilting	Decay and Blackstem	Loss of Color	
Refrigerated Storage:						
Micro-Mist treated Untreated	3.7 3.4	65 62	17 19	14 16	4	
"Filacell" Storage:						
Micro-Mist treated Untreated	3.2 3.1	48 49	17 18	30 27	5 6	

^{* 5 =} most desirable. A mark less than 4 indicates the stalks are not suitable for the fresh market, only for the processing trade.

The average stalk weight at harvest was 1.17 kg for the Micro-Mist treated and 1.25 kg for the untreated stalks of celery.

These results seem to indicate that under these, close to ideal, growing and storing conditions, the treatments had very little effect on the quality of the celery.

CELERY STORAGE TREATMENT TRIAL - 1984/85

The cv. Florida 683 was seeded on April 30 and transplanted to the field on June 18 at a spacing of 57 cm X 15 cm. Normal management procedures were followed and harvest took place on Sept. 6 to 10.

Type of Treatments:

Two types of storage were used. One, a "Filacell" storage with a forced air controlled temperature of $1\frac{1}{2}$ °C and a relative humidity of 95 to 100%. The second storage is a refrigerated storage where the temperature was kept at 0°C to 1°C and the relative humidity between 80 and 100% by an air jet nozzle.

The celery stalks were placed upright in the following types of containers:

- 1. Open rigid plastic containers with small holes in sides and bottom.
- 2. Sealed black plastic bags.
- 3. Wooden pallet boxes with 2-3 cm spacing between the boards on sides and bottom. One half of each box was wrapped in black plastic film leaving the bottom and 20% of the top open for air movement.

All celery stalks were drenched with a solution of 3.3 ml of 6% sodium hypochlorite (Javex) per L water. This season no benomyl (Benlate) drench was used as was done in previous years. All treatments were replicated 3 times.

Conclusions:

- 1. The celery kept better in the refrigerated storage due mostly to more decay in the "Filacell" storage. In previous years, much less decay and more loss of color developed in all treatments. This year the celery was not treated with benomyl (Benlate).
- 2. The rigid plastic container was generally the better container to store celery in. The sealed plastic bag was fairly good up to 82 days, but the amount of the decay was already increasing rapidly.
- 3. A storage period of 82 days seems already too long in view of the treatments given in this trial.

CELERY STORAGE TREATMENT TRIAL - 1984-85 -continued

				%	%	%
		Quality*	% BY Weight Marketable	Shrinkage Wilting	Decay & Black Stem	Loss of Color
	Comparing Storage Types: After a storage period of 82 days.					
	Refrigerated	3.4	62	19	16	3
	"Filacell"	3.1	49	18	27	6
	<u>Comparing Containers:</u> After a storage period of 82 days					
	a) In Refrigerated Storage: rigid plastic containers sealed bags pallet box wrapped pallet box	3.5 3.5 3.3 3.2	72 71 59 61	12 1 19 20	12 27 20 15	4 1 2 4
	b) In "Filacell" Storage: rigid plastic container sealed bag pallet box wrapped pallet box	3.3 3.2 3.2 3.0	51 60 50 46	19 1 16 18	27 34 27 29	3 5 7 7
III	Comparing Length of Storage Peri	od:				
	a) <u>In refrigerated Storage:</u> 82 day 105 days	3.2 2.9	64 35	16 19	17 41	3 5
	b) <u>In "Filacell" Storage:</u> 82 days 105 days	3.2 2.8	51 20	15 24	28 55	6 1

^{* 5 =} most desirable

In a 5 times replicated trial 300 stalks of celery were placed upright in pallet boxes, drenched with a solution of 3.3 L of 6% sodium hypochlorite per 1000 L water and placed in a "Filacell" type of storage where the temperature was controlled at 1.5° C and the relative humidity at above 95%.

5 replications of 20 stalks each, were examined for marketability after 64 days, 81 days and 96 days in storage. The results were as follows:

			Reason U				
Days in Storage	Quality *	% Marketable	Storage Shrinkage	Wilting	Black Stem	Decay	Loss of Color
After 64 days	3.9	66	7	16	1	5	5
After 81 days	3.5	42	8	12	11	23	4
After 96 days	2.7	20	14	10	6	45	5

^{* 5 =} most desirable

After 2 months in storage the losses became too great to warrant further storing of celery. The amount of decay increased rapidly after 64 days. In previous years' experiments there was evidence that benomyl could have prevented some of this decay. Benomyl is not registered for use on celery. Wilting and black-stem losses on a percentage basis were lower as the storage period was extended.

CARROT CULTIVAR TRIALS - PACKAGING TYPES

Management Procedures:

The soil was rotovated to a depth of 25 cm, after fertilizer was applied in the form of 500 kg/ha 10-0-0+20 kg/ha Borax.

The trials were seeded on May 24 in rows 50 cm apart, with a V belt seeder equipped with a 5 cm scatter shoe, at 82 seeds/m. The Main Trial was replicated 3 times. The plants were thinned to approximately 40 per m. The water table was kept at 90 cm.

A regular fungicide spray program was discontinued after Sept. lst. to evaluate the cultivars for tolerance to leaf blights.

The roots of the Main and Adaptation Trials were harvested on Oct. 10 and placed in a "Filacell" storage at 1.5°C and 95% relative humidity. Data was taken November 5.

On October 25 field notes were taken of the Observation Trial.

Legend:

Yield: 56 t/ha = 1000 b/a, 84 t/ha = 1500 b/a

Length and Width: 25 cm = 10 inches, 3.8 cm = 1.5 inches.

Quality marks: 5 = most desirable 1 = least desirable

Rusty Root: Most cvs showed signs of a late attack of rusty root, usually without effect on the root development. See marks in Main Trial. In the Adaptation Trial the marks are not listed. The cvs affected to a light degree were Imperator L58, Nantes, PW Imperator, Rondino, A Plus, Figaro, and EXP 642.

Horizontal Lesions and Degree: The percentage of roots that have horizontal lesions or cavity spots and to what degree they appear on the roots. 72 VL means that 72% of the roots have very few and small lesions VL = very light, M = medium, VH = very many and large cavity spots, roots not marketable.

Slicer Types: The degree to which the shape of the root makes it suitable for slicers. For a list of cultivars suitable for slicers see index.

Score: The average of uniformity of shape and size, appearance, resistance to greening, interior and exterior color and core size.

The Main Trial is listed in order of length and slenderness of roots.

CARROT CULTIVAR MAIN TRIAL - 1984 - PACKAGING TYPES

	1	YIE				ROO	OTS	UNIFO	RMITY			CO	LOR			ons			
- Cultivar	Source	t/ha t/ha	e / a	% Over Size	% Marketable	Length (cm)	Width (cm)	Shape	Size	Appearance	Resist. to Greening	Interior	Exterior	Core Size	Rusty Root	% Hort. Lesions & Degree	Blight	Slicers	Score
—Six Pak	HM	81	1449	14	89	24	3.6	4.2	4.2	4.0	3.4	3.9	3.9	4.0	5.0	53VL	4.3	3.4	3.94
—Orlando Gold	Sto	73	1305	13	87	23	3.5	4.1	4.3	3.8	4.3	3.9	4.2	3.9	5.0	77L	4.3	3.5	4.07
Charger	HM	66	1173	10	89	23	3.1	4.1	4.2	3.3	4.3	3.8	4.0	4.0	4.3	60L	3.3	3.2	3.96
—Orange Sherbet	Sto	77	1363	23	91	23	3.4	4.0	4.0	3.5	4.3	3.7	3.8	4.1	5.0	53L	4.3	3.4	3.91
Cimarron	HM	79	1401	9	89	23	3.5	3.7	4.2	3.7	3.8	3.5	3.9	3.6	5.0	62L	3.0	3.1	3.77
—Six Pak II	HM	82	1460	14	92	23	3.7	4.3	4.3	4.1	4.2	3.8	3.8	3.9	5.0	23VL	4.7	3.6	4.06
—Candy Pak	Cro	77	1365	10	93	23	3.5	4.1	4.3	4.1	4.6	3.9	3.9	3.8	5.0	54L	4.7	3.3	4.10
Goldmine	PES	69	1234	25	88	23	3.8	3.7	3.7	3.2	4.1	3.1	3.6	3.8	4.7	90VL	3.7	3.0	3.60
-Dagger 78	ARCO	76	1347	25	84	22	3.7	4.0	4.1	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.2	4.1	5.0	43L	4.3	3.7	4.04
-Sweet-N-Crisp	Cro	80	1431	28	83	22	3.9	3.7	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.7	3.7	4.0	5.0	66L	4.3	3.1	3.81
Golden State	Asg	65	1157	14	83	22	3.5	3.8	4.1	3.7	4.5	4.3	4.0	4.1	4.3	60M	4.3	2.8	4.07
Cellobunch	Asg	89	1588	14	88	22	3.5	3.8	4.0	4.0	3.1	3.8	4.0	3.7	5.0	60VL	4.0	3.8	3.77
Dart	ARCO	73	1303	14	81	21	3.7	3.5	3.7	3.2	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.8	4.7	93L	3.0	3.2	3.73
—Flavor Pak	Cro	72	1278	19	86	21	3.6	3.9	3.8	3.3	4.2	3.6	3.8	3.7	5.0	63L	4.0	3.1	3.76
—Top Pak	HM	87	1547	29	90	21	4.0	3.3	3.7	3.4	3.8	3.6	4.0	3.7	4.7	40L	4.3	2.1	3.64
Dominator	Sun	74	1315	28	86	21	4.0	4.1	3.8	3.2	4.3	3.4	3.8	3.9	5.0	100VL	4.3	2.2	3.79
—Aristo Pak	Cro	82	1467	24	93	21	3.8	3.7	3.8	3.6	4.2	3.6	3.9	3.3	5.0	60L	3.3	3.0	3.73
Debut	Asg	68	1212	18	77	21	3.5	3.5	3.4	3.1	4.1	3.9	3.8	4.4	5.0	70VL	4.7	3.0	3.74
Fancy Pak	Agri	78	1392	27	82	21	3.6	3.3	3.8	3.4	4.3	3.2	3.7	3.4	4.7	70L	4.3	3.4	3.59
—Polaris	HM	88	1568	12	87	21	3.7	3.7	4.7	4.0	3.3	3.4	4.1	4.0	5.0	90VL	2.7	3.7	3.89
—Saber 78 —Pak Mor —Sierra A Plus	ARCO HM Agri Asg	70 75 64 61	1239 1335 1143 1093	5 19 14 8	92 86 82 71	21 20 20 19	3.0 3.6 3.4 3.5	3.7 3.6 3.4 3.8	4.1 4.0 3.9 4.0	2.9 3.0 3.1 3.5	4.4 4.3 4.3	3.5 3.1 3.1 4.0	3.7 3.8 3.9 4.1	4.1 3.4 3.5 4.2	3.7 5.0 4.7 4.0	56L 63L 80VL 83L	4.0 4.7 4.0 3.3	3.7 3.0 2.5 3.4	3.77 3.60 3.60 4.04

Listed in order of Length

LONG TERM AVERAGES OF CARROT CULTIVARS - PACKAGING TYPES

Cultivar	Source	# Years Tested	LTA L	ength Inches	LTA Yi	eld b/a	% Mkble	LTA Score
Orlando Gold	Sto	3	23.99 23.60	9.44	68.93	1228	85	4.18
Javelin 80	ARCO	4 7	23.25	9.29	55.75 66.95	991	85	4.13
Spartan North Candy Pak	Cro Cro	8	23.22	9.15	66.91	1192 1192	85 85	4.20
Calluy Fak	Cro	0	23.22	3.14	00.91	1192	65	4.20
Dagger 78	ARCO	6	23.13	9.11	70.28	1250	85	4.25
Spartan Delite 80	MSU	6 4	22.88	9.01	75.25	1376	89	4.08
Orange Sherbet	Sto	5	22.76	8.96	66.62	1185	86	3.86
Sweet-n-crisp	Cro	3	22.63	8.91	71.91	1280	88	3.94
Cutlass	ARCO	6 5 5 3	22.58	8.89	67.57	1203	81	4.02
Six Pak	HM	5	22.58	8.89	74.08	13 19	90	4.18
Saber 78	ARC0	5	22.48	8.85	61.32	1091	85	4.10
Flavor Pak	Cro	3	22.47	8.85	73.90	1316	89	3.99
Debut	Asg	2	22.08	8.69	72.30	1287	82	3.91
Nuggeteer	FM	2 7	22.05	8.68	65.00	1158	80	3.91
Spartan Fancy 80	Cro	4	22.00	8.66	68.50	1219	84	4.01
Brite Pak	A&C	4	21.98	8.65	65.40	1164	79	3.92
Dire rak	Adc	4	21.90	0.05	03.40	1104	19	3.92
Six Pak II	НМ	2	21.85	8.60	76.50	1358	91	4.16
Imperator 58	Cro	2 9	21.69	8.54	50.34	896	78	3.64
Trophy	НМ	10	21.60	8.50	64.02	1140	84	3.99
Gold Pak 263	Asg	6	21.58	8.50	60.67	1079	85	3.91
		A CANADA SA						
Diplomat	Asg	4	21.50	8.46	73.00	1297	87	3.99
Cellobunch	Asg	3	21.43	8.44	82.32	1465	87	3.82
Chancellor	Asg	4	21.40	8.43	67.75	1206	81	3.86
Lance	ARCO	6	21.22	8.35	64.98	1156	83	4.08

LONG TERM AVERAGES OF CARROT CULTIVARS - PACKAGING TYPES -continued

		# Years	LTA L	ength	LTA Y		%	LTA
Cultivar	Source	Tested	cm	Inches	t/ha	b/a	Mkble	Score
Spartan Premium 80	Cro	4	21.20	8.35	80.75	1437	86	3.95
Pak Mor	НМ	4	21.17	8.34	65.00	1157	83	3.81
Grenadier	HM	14	21.11	8.31	65.94	1173	84	3.98
Spartan Winner 80	Cro	3	21.10	8.31	73.33	1306	82	3.78
Sierra	Agri	4	20.96	8.25	67.43	1200	84	3.92
Canuck	Sto	15	20.94	8.24	62.69	1116	82	3.99
Gold Pak 28	FM	12	20.76	8.17	55.91	996	85	3.84
Paramount	Asg	6	20.52	8.08	84.33	1502	87	3.92
Spartan Sweet 'A'	Cro	13	20.33	8.00	69.68	1240	82	4.12
King Imperator	NK	10	20.19	7.95	52.71	938	83	3.75
Spartan Deluxe	MSU	9	19.96	7.86	70.80	1260	84	3.97
Dominator	Sun	13	19.74	7.77	63.88	1137	85	3.85
Klondike Nantes	Sto	10	19.59	7.71	72.10	1283	85	3.87
Hipak	HM	13	19.26	7.58	65.46	1166	86	3.84
Spartan Classic 80	Cro	3	17.93	7.05	63.33	1127	78	3.78
Pioneer	НМ	10	16.60	6.54	64.00	1139	81	3.61
Scarlet Nantes	Asg	8	14.50	5.71	66.00	1175	75	3.46

5 = most desirable

Listed in order of Length

EARLY MATURITY OF CARROT CULTIVARS - 1984 - PACKAGING TYPES

		Marketab	le t/ha	Bags/	'Acre	Weight	t/Root	Accepta	bility ·	
Cultivar	Source	July 31	Aug. 9	July 31	Aug. 9	July 31	Aug.9	July 31	Aug. 9	<u>Earliness</u>
Dart Polaris Spartan Classic Cimarron Six-Pak	ARCO HM Cro HM HM	23.0 22.0 21.3 20.2 20.2	35.0 31.0 35.3 35.7 31.2	409 392 380 359 359	623 552 629 635 555	44 41 43 42 39	63 60 55 71 54	4.2 3.9 4.0 4.2 3.9	4.5 3.9 3.8 4.1 4.1	2 6 3 1
Pak Mor	HM	19.7	30.7	350	546	41	70	3.8	3.7	9
Cellobunch (XPH 568)	Asg	19.5	34.3	347	611	42	53	3.7	4.1	8
Grenadier	HM	19.5	33.0	347	587	42	61	3.6	3.9	10
A Plus (VNH 425)	Asg	18.7	31.5	332	561	41	58	3.5	4.0	13
Dagger 78	ARCO	18.7	27.6	313	491	41	55	3.7	3.8	15
Trophy	HM	18.3	30.8	326	549	46	64	3.8	4.1	4
Top Pak	HM	18.2	31.8	323	567	45	69	3.7	3.7	5
Paramount	Asg	17.8	28.3	318	504	42	79	3.9	3.7	12
Klondike Nantes	Sto	17.7	29.8	314	531	40	68	3.7	3.2	16
Diplomat	Asg	17.5	31.2	312	555	49	65	3.8	4.0	7
Six-Pak II	HM	17.2	29.3	305	522	37	59	3.8	4.1	14
Orange Sherbet	Sto	17.2	24.5	305	436	41	58	3.6	3.4	18
Aristo Pak	Cro	15.8	30.8	282	549	40	59	3.2	3.8	17
Spartan Premium '80'	Cro	15.7	27.5	279	490	31	47	3.7	3.8	20
Goldmine	PES	15.7	28.5	279	507	41	55	3.6	3.6	19
Charger	HM	15.7	26.5	279	472	34	53	3.6	3.8	22
Fancy Pak	Agri	13.3	24.0	237	427	37	67	3.3	3.2	21
Golden State (XPH 5068)	Asg	11.3	23.0	202	409	35	44	3.1	3.1	24
Debut (XPH 979)	Asg	10.7	24.5	190	436	36	45	2.7	3.1	23

Seeded: May 17 at 60 seeds/m

5 = most desirable

Listed in order of July 31 marketable t/ha

Earliness: order of earliness of maturity considering all data

Source	% Marketable	% Weight Loss In Storage		% Decay		Degree of Decay
	, and the out of	-11 0001496		Decay		or becay
1184	0.4	16				-
				1		5
						5-
				5		4+
Asg	82	12		6		4+
Sto	80	15		5		. 5-
A.Ch.	80					4-
				5		5
Sto	79	16		5		5-
				5 30 -		
				3		5-
				3		5-
						5-
НМ	78	17		5		5-
Sto	78	13		9		4+
		13		9		4+
				8		4-
Asg	77	14		9		4+
Asa	77	17		6		3-
		12				3+
		13				2+
		16				3+
Aac	/3	10		9		31
E.J.	75	17		8		3
Cro	74	18		. 8		4+
NK	74	16		10		2+
НМ	_73	15		12		4+
	78.1	15.2		6.7		4
	ARCO Cro ARCO HM Sto Sto Cro Asg Asg HM HM A&C E.J. Cro NK	Source Marketable HM 84 Cro 83 ARCO 82 Asg 82 Sto 80 A.Ch. 80 FM 79 Sto 79 ARCO 79 Cro 79 ARCO 78 HM 78 Sto 78 Sto 78 Cro 78 Asg 77 HM 76 HM 76 HM 76 A&C 75 E.J. 75 Cro 74 NK 74 HM 73	Source Marketable In Štorage HM 84 15 Cro 83 14 ARCO 82 14 Asg 82 12 Sto 80 15 A.Ch. 80 15 FM 79 16 Sto 79 18 Cro 79 18 ARCO 79 18 ARCO 78 17 HM 78 17 Sto 78 13 Sto 78 13 Cro 78 14 Asg 77 14 Asg 77 17 HM 76 12 HM 76 13 A&C 75 16 E.J. 75 17 Cro 74 18 NK 74 16 HM 73 15	HM 84 15 Cro 83 14 ARCO 82 14 Asg 82 12 Sto 80 15 A.Ch. 80 15 FM 79 16 Sto 79 18 Cro 79 18 ARCO 78 17 HM 78 17 Sto 78 13 Sto 78 13 Cro 78 14 Asg 77 14 Asg 77 14 Asg 77 17 HM 76 12 HM 76 13 A&C 75 16 E.J. 75 17 Cro 74 18 NK 74 16 HM 73 15	HM 84 15 1 Cro 83 14 3 ARCO 82 14 4 Asg 82 12 6 Sto 80 15 5 A.Ch. 80 15 5 FM 79 16 5 Sto 79 18 3 Cro 79 18 3 Cro 79 18 3 ARCO 79 18 3 ARCO 78 17 5 HM 78 17 5 Sto 78 13 9 Sto 78 13 9 Cro 78 14 8 Asg 77 17 6 HM 76 12 12 HM 76 13 11 A&C 75 16 9 E.J. 75 <td< td=""><td>HM 84 15 1 Cro 83 14 3 ARCO 82 14 4 Asg 82 12 6 Sto 80 15 5 A.Ch. 80 15 5 FM 79 16 5 Sto 79 18 3 Cro 79 18 3 Cro 79 18 3 ARCO 79 18 3 ARCO 79 18 3 ARCO 79 18 3 ARCO 78 17 5 HM 78 17 5 Sto 78 13 9 Cro 78 14 8 Asg 77 14 9 Asg 77 17 6 HM 76 13 11 A&C 75 <t< td=""></t<></td></td<>	HM 84 15 1 Cro 83 14 3 ARCO 82 14 4 Asg 82 12 6 Sto 80 15 5 A.Ch. 80 15 5 FM 79 16 5 Sto 79 18 3 Cro 79 18 3 Cro 79 18 3 ARCO 79 18 3 ARCO 79 18 3 ARCO 79 18 3 ARCO 78 17 5 HM 78 17 5 Sto 78 13 9 Cro 78 14 8 Asg 77 14 9 Asg 77 17 6 HM 76 13 11 A&C 75 <t< td=""></t<>

^{* 5 =} most desirable

Harvested and placed in "Filacell" storage on Oct. 13, 1983 at $1\frac{1}{2}$ OC and 95 % relative humidity Replicated 3 times

Judged 10 months later on August 22/84

LONG TERM AVERAGE - CARROT CULTIVAR STORAGE TRIAL - PACKAGING TYPES

		# Years	%	% Wt Loss	%	Degree of
Cultivar	Source	Tested	Mkb	in Storage	Decay	Decay *
Spartan Classic 80	Sto	4	90.8	6.8	2.4	3.5
Spartan Delux	Cro		88.5	8.0	3.5	3.8
Trophy	HM	4 5	88.2	9.0	2.8	3.8
King Imperator	Sto	3	88.0	7.3	4.7	3.7
Canuck	Sto	6	87.0	8.6	4.4	4.5
Spartan Fancy 80	Asg	3	87.0	9.7	3.3	3.5
Gold Pak 28	Sto	3	86.7	10.0	3.3	4.2
Candy Pak	Cro	6	86.3	10.0	3.7	3.3
Hipak	НМ	4	86.2	9.3	4.5	4.1
Spartan Swwet 'A'	Cro	4	86.1	7.6	6.3	3.5
Spartan North 'A'	Cro	5	85.8	9.4	4.8	3.6
Spartan Fancy	Sto	3	85.7	9.3	5.0	3.8
Clondike Nantes	Sto	4	85.6	8.1	6.3	3.7
Dominator	Sun	3	85.3	8.0	6.7	3.7
Orange Sherbet	Sto	3	85.0	10.0	5.0	3.4
ance	Sto	4	84.8	8.5	6.7	2.9
Grenadier	НМ	5	84.6	8.8	6.6	3.6
Cutlass	ARCO	4	84.3	9.3	6.4	3.5
Golpak 263	Asg	4	83.9	9.0	7.1	3.7
Saber 78	ARCO	3	83.3	10.0	6.7	3.7
Spartan Delite 80	Asg	3	83.3	11.0	5.7	3.5
Dagger 78	ARCO	3	82.3	12.0	5.7	4.3
Six Pak	HM	3	80.7	13.3	6.0	4.0
Pak Mor	HM		80.3	11.0	8.7	3.7
Chancellor	Asg	3 3	80.0	11.3	8.7	2.3

^{*5 =} most desirable Storage period was uaually 9 months.

				1															
		Yie	1d				Roo	ts	Unif	form			Col	lor		NS			
Cultivar	Source	t/ha	b/a	% Over Size	% MKb	Type	Length cm	Width cm	Size	Shape	Appearance	Resist. to Green.	Interior	Exterior	Core Size	Hort. Lesions & Degree	Blight	Slicer	Score
Britepak Vitasweet tm 500 Vitasweet tm 721 Vitasweet tm 750	A&C A&C A&C A&C	95 105 75 90	1691 1869 1335 1602	28 25 14 17	89 86 85 94	LDG NLD IN NG	20 18 23 23	3.8 4.0 3.7 3.6	3+ 4 4+ 4+	4- 4 3+ 4	4 - 4 - 4 - 4 +	4+ 3+ 4+ 4	4- 3+ 4+ 4-	4 – 4 4 4	4- 4+ 4+ 4+	70/L 30/M 60/L 80/L	5 2 3 2	3- 4+ 4- 4+	3.73 3.80 4.03 4.09
NVH 1001 El Presidente Javelin 80 Orlando Gold	Agri ARCO ARCO ARCO	73 62 81 73	1299 1104 1442 1299	22 16 24 18	79 81 86 87	GI G GI GI	24 23 24 23	3.6 3.6 3.7 3.6	4 4 4- 4	4- 4- 4- 3-	3+ 4- 3+ 3+	4 4- 4- 4	4 4+ 4- 4	4 - 4 + 4 - 4 -	4 4 4 4-	60/L 80/L 100/L 60/VL	2 3 4 4	3+ 3+ 3+ 3+	3.81 3.96 3.69 3.63
ARCO 101 (3475 X 4367) X 9253 Chancellor Diplomat	ARCO ARCO Asg Asg	68 85 85 75	1210 1513 1513 1335	8 23 18 33	84 87 84 79	G LDG GLD GLD	23 22 23 23	3.4 4.1 3.9 3.7	4+ 4 4	4 4 4- 3	4- 4 4- 3+	5- 4 4 4+	4+ 4+ 4- 4-	4 – 4 + 4 –	4- 4+ 3+ 4-	100/L 100/L 60/L 70/L	3 4 5 3	·3 3+ 3	4.06 4.13 3.77 3.63
Paramount Spartan Delite 80 Spartan Fancy 80 XPH 569	Asg Asg Asg Asg	91 68 70 77	1614 1204 1240 1365	18 13 18 3	87 80 85 80	G GI GI GI	23 24 24 22	3.5 3.4 3.6 3.3	4 4 4 4	3+ 4- 4- 4-	4 – 4 – 4 – 4 –	4 4 4 5-	4 4 4 4-	4 - 4 - 4 - 4 -	4 - 4 + 4 + 4 -	90/VL 100/VL 50/L 90/VL	4 4 3 4	4- 3+ 3	3.77 3.87 3.91 3.84
Harvestmore Orlando Gold Packer CRK N124	Cro Cro Cro	71 46 68 33	1258 813 1204 587	26 6 16 12	86 65 78 45	GI IG GILD G	27 22 23 24	3.9 3.2 3.5 3.8	4 4 – 4 – 4 –	4 3+ 4- 4+	4- 3 4- 4-	4- 4+ 4	4 - 4 - 4 - 4 -	4 4 4- 4	4 4+ 4- 4-	80/L 100/VL 60/L 60/M	4 3 4 2	4- 3+ 3+ 3-	3.83 3.76 3.74 3.87

		Yie	eld				Ro	ots	Un	iform			Co	lor		Su				
Cultivar	Source	t/ha	b/a	% Over Size	% Mkb	Туре	Length cm	Width cm	Size	Shape	Appearance	Resist. to Green	Interior	External	Core Size	Hort. Lesions & Degree	Blight	Slicer	Score	
CRK N125 CRK W243 CRK W458 E 371	Cro Cro Cro EZ	56 71 70 48	997 1264 1252 860	17 18 41 8	73 85 81 66	LDI GI ILD GN	25 24 28 18	3.8 3.7 4.0 3.8	4 4 4+ 4+	4 4- 4- 3+	4+ 4 3+ 3+	4- 3+ 4+ 3	4 – 4 + 4 4	4+ 5- 4 4+	4 4+ 4 4	80/L 70/L 60/L 80/M	3 3 4 4	3+ 3 3- 4	4.00 4.04 3.94 3.74	
Candy Pak Madamoiselle Nantes Strong Top Texsun	FM FM FM	74 80 65 79	1323 1418 1157 1412	32 15 4 28	81 79 79 78	GI GN NG LD	25 18 16 20	4.0 3.6 3.5 4.2	3+ 4- 4 4-	4 3 3- 4-	4 3+ 3 3+	4- 3 2 4	4+ 4- 4- 4	4 – 4 4 4	5- 4+ 4	50/M 90/L 70/M 80/L	5 4 4 5	3 3+ 4 3	3.96 3.57 3.34 3.77	
FMX 151 FMX 166 FMX 268 Pak Mor	FM FM FM HM	78 89 73 88	1394 1584 1293 1560	19 21 10 22	83 89 87 83	LDG G NG GLD	23 25 16 23	3.8 4.0 3.5 3.9	3+ 4+ 4- 4	4- 4+ 3+ 3+	4- 5- 3 4-	4+ 4 4 4+	4 4- 5- 3+	4- 4- 4- 4	4- 4- 4+ 3	40/L 70/L 90/L 90/L	4 4 3 4	3 3+ 4 4-	3.77 4.06 3.81 3.66	
EXP 2101 HXP 10042 HXP 10061 HXP 10062	HM HM HM HM	75 96 57 76	1329 1715 1021 1353	36 44 0 29	83 88 77 86	LDI GI IG I	25 27 23 29	4.1 4.0 3.0 3.6	4 4 4 4	4 - 4 + 4 4 +	4 4 4 4-	4+ 4+ 5- 4-	4 - 4 - 4 -	4 4+ 4 4-	4 3+ 4+ 4-	50/L 70/VL 50/L 60/VL	4 4 3 3	3+ 3+ 4- 3+	3.96 3.99 4.14 3.83	
HXP 10072 HXP 10082 HXP 10092	HM HM HM	69 88 69	1228 1572 1234	19 30 33	79 91 77	GI IG IG	25 25 28	3.5 3.5 3.8	4 3+ 4	4 4 4-	4+ 4 4-	4 4+ 4+	4- 3+ 4	4+ 4 4-	4 4- 4	100/VL 90/VL 50/L	4 4 4	3+ 4- 3	4.04 3.80 3.91	

												. 15								
Cultivar	3	Source	t/ha	eld p/a	% Over Size	% Mkb	Туре	Length cm 3	Width cm	Size	Shape	Appearance	Resist. to Green.	Interior	Exterior	Core Size	Hort. Lesions & Degree	Blight	Slicer	Score
Six-Pak Top Pak EXP 2127 EXP 2914		HM HM HM	75 102 57 85	1335 1816 1015 1513	13 47 16 20	78 85 69 81	IG GI GI	23 23 23 22	3.3 3.7 3.5 3.6	4 4- 3 3	4 - 4 - 4 4	4- 4- 4- 4	4- 4 4+ 4+	4- 4- 4- 4-	4- 4 4	4 4 4- 4-	30/VL 60/L 80/L 70/L	4 5 4 4	4- 4- 3 3+	3.79 3.83 3.77 3.81
Orlando Gold Amsterdam Nantes Robin Nantes Titan		JHK NZ NZ NZ	51 36 80 87	908 641 1419 1554	6 11 19 16	88 66 83 78	G IN NG GN	23 20 20 21	3.1 2.8 3.9 3.6	4 4 5- 4+	3 4- 4÷ 4+	4- 3 4 4	5- 3+ 3- 4	5 - 4 4 4 -	4 4 4+ 4-	4+ 4+ 4 4+	70/L 60/M 40/L 50/M	3 4 4 5	3 4 4+ 4	4.06 3.76 4.00 4.04
Touchon Echo NIZ 149 Fl Tahoe Fanci Pak		NZ NZ NK NK	58 106 73 104	1038 1882 1308 1857	0 45 31 30	87 96 91 91	NI LDG DG GLD	21 21 15 23	2.8 4.2 4.1 3.8	5- 4- 4 4-	4- 4- 3- 4	4 3+ 3 4	2+ 4 4+ 4+	4+ 4+ 4- 4	4 – 4 4 4 –	4 4 4 4-	60/L 90/VL 90/L 60/L	4 5 4 4	4+ 4- 2+ 3+	3.86 3.86 3.67 3.91
Woodland EXP 107 EXP 642 VK 44		NK PES PES PES	84 92 86 66	1490 1632 1537 1175	28 27 25 19	86 86 87 71	GI IG G IG	24 24 20 26	3.8 3.8 3.9 3.7	4 4 4	4 – 4 4 – 4	4- 4- 3+ 3+	5- 4 4 4	4- 3 4- 3+	4+ 4 4- 4-	4- 3+ 2 3+	50/L 90/L 90/M 80/VL	4 5 4 4	3+ 3 4 3	3.97 3.71 3.49 3.66
#9081 #9082 Imperator L58 Nantes PW Imp		PES PES PETO PETO	81 96 66 64	1436 1703 1169 1145	16 33 12 18	76 85 89 68	GI GLD I LDN	22 20 21 15	3.9 4.2 3.6 4.0	4 4 4 4-	4 3 4 3	4- 3+ 4 2	5- 4 4 4-	4 4- 4- 3	4 - 4 - 4 4	4 4 3+ 4	70/L 90/VL 90/L 80/H	5 4 4 4	3 4- 3 3+	4.01 3.67 3.86 3.34

CARROT ADAPTATION TRIAL - 1984 - PACKAGING TYPES - continued

Cultivar	Source t/ha b/a	% Over Size % Mkb Type	Length cm stood Width cm Size	Shape Appearance Resist. to Green. Interior Exterior	Core Size Hort. Lesions & Degree Blight Slicer
Orlando Gold PSR 682 Clairon Lindoro	PETO 58 10 PETO 89 15 RS 55 9 RS 92 16	84 7 99 G 74 7 59 N	24 3.2 4+ 22 3.4 4- 19 3.5 3+ 17 4.0 4	3 4 5- 5- 5- 4 4 4+ 4+ 4+ 4- 3+ 4 4 4 4+ 4- 4 3+ 4-	4 70/L 4 3- 4.20 5- 90/VL 4 3+ 4.19 4 55/L 4 4 3.76 3+ 70/H 5 5- 3.76
Nevesta Rondino Tamino Adorno	RS 73 13 RS 69 12 RS 110 19 RS 112 19	28 8 75 N 53 31 95 NG	19 3.8 4 18 3.6 4 21 3.8 4+ 21 4.6 4	4 4 4 4 4- 4+ 4 3+ 3 4- 4- 4- 4 3- 4- 4+ 3+ 3+ 4+ 3+ 4-	4- 80/L 4 4+ 3.96 4- 70/M 4 4+ 3.63 4- 100/L 4 4 3.67 3 90/VL 5 4- 3.56
Figaro Lucky's Gold Elvy Tip Top	RS 71 120 SS 78 138 SG 90 150 SG 92 160	38 30 84 LD 97 22 74 NG	22 4.5 3+ 17 4.6 4 19 3.9 4- 18 4.2 4+	4- 3 4 4 4- 4- 4- 4 4+ 4+ 3+ 3 3+ 4- 4+ 4+ 3+ 4 3+ 4	3 70/L 5 3- 3.53 4 70/L 2 3+ 3.96 4- 85/M 4 4+ 3.57 3+ 65/H 4 4+ 3.79
Nanthya Goldmine A Plus Golden State	SG 71 120 Sun 94 160 USDA 50 89 USDA 53 94	73 31 87 IG 90 10 55 GLD		3+ 3+ 3+ 3+ 4 4+ 4- 4+ 3+ 4- 4- 4 4 4 4- 3- 3 4+ 4 4	3+ 80/L 5 4 3.50 4- 100/L 5 3 3.71 4- 70/L 5 3 3.73 4 90/L 5 3 3.53
Orlando Gold Nanco Tarenco	USDA 65 119 VIL 53 94 VIL 57 10	13 3 58 NG		5- 4- 4+ 4 4 3+ 3 4- 4- 4- 4- 4- 4 4- 4+	4+ 50/L 5 3+ 4.19 4- 60/H 4 4 3.59 4+ 50/H 5 4 3.91

CARROT CULTIVAR TRIAL ON MINERAL SOIL - 1984

This three times replicated trial was seeded on May 16 in a Granby sandy loam, on raised beds 140 cm wide at the top, with 3 rows per bed, spaced 57 cm apart.

Normal growing practices were followed, no irrigation was used.

Harvest took place on Oct. 12 and the roots were placed in a refrigerated storage.

Data was taken the 6th day of November.

The cultivars are listed in order of length, acceptability, and yield.

Type of Culls:

M = Misshapen

F = Forked

S = Split

U = Undersize

The type mentioned first is the most prevalent one.

Acceptability combines uniformity of shape and size, smoothness, straightness, and general appearance of the sample.

For more information on these cultivars see the Main Carrot Trial on organic soil.

5 = most desirable

1 = least desirable

CARROT CULTIVAR TRIAL ON MINERAL SOIL - 1984 - PACKAGING TYPES

		MKB	YIELD		<u>a</u>	Culls	۷	ROOT	S	ity		COL	OR I
Cultivar	Source	t/ha	b/a	% Over size	% Marketable	Type of Cu	Stand/meter	Length (cm)	Width (cm)	Acceptability	Resist.to Greening	Interior	Exterior
Six Pak	HM	65	1155	5	84	FUS	47	19.7	2.9	3.8	4.4	4.4	4.1
Saber 78	ARCO	67	1193	4	88	FU	48	19.5	2.7	3.7	4.9	4.0	4.0
Six Pak II	HM	65	1166	0	85	FU	47	19.7	3.0	3.7	4.9	4.2	4.1
Klondike Nantes	Sto	66	1176	2	80	FU	44	18.5	3.2	3.8	4.0	4.3	3.9
Orlando Gold	PETO	64	1140	9	84	FUM	52	19.2	3.2	3.7	4.4	4.7	4.4
Spartan Delite 80	Asg	50	885	1	74	FU	46	20.0	3.0	3.5	4.5	4.3	4.3
Pak Mor	Mor	44	791	13	56	FU	37	20.4	3.6	3.2	4.4	4.0	4.3
Spartan Fancy 80	Cro	46	812	2	78	FU	48	19.1	2.5	3.6	4.6	4.6	4.4
Trophy	Mor	54	963	0	72	FMU	49	18.9	3.0	3.5	4.0	4.0	4.2
Chancellor	Asg	55	973	7	73	FU	44	18.1	3.1	3.7	4.0	4.2	4.0
Cellobunch	Asg	63	1114	5	73	FS	44	19.0	3.3	3.0	4.2	4.3	4.2
Spartan Premium 80	Cro	54	963	5	82	FM	46	18.2	3.3	3.5	3.6	4.2	4.4
Paramount	Asg	69	1229	9	77	FUM	57	17.0	3.1	3.1	3.3	4.7	4.2
Dagger 78	ARCO	40	708	2	60	F	43	18.4	2.9	3.1	3.9	4.6	4.3
A Plus	Asg	55	979	11	70	F	48	18.0	3.6	2.8	3.9	4.8	4.6
Grenadier	HM	46	822	0	75	FU	51	17.4	2.8	2.8	4.7	4.0	4.2

CARROT CULTIVAR TRIAL - 1984 - PROCESSING TYPES

MANAGEMENT PROCEDURES:

Fertilizer: 500 kg/ha 10-0-0 + 20 kg/ha Borax worked in deeply with rotovator. Seeded May 17 with a 5 cm wide scatter shoe, rows at 50 cm, 40 seeds/m, thinned to 33/m.

The main trial was replicated 3 times.

In order to establish tolerance to leaf blights, no fungicides were applied after Sept. 1.

Harvested Oct. 16. Data taken November 7 for Main Trial and December 28 for Adaptation.

NOTES OR REPORT:

The main trial is listed in order of color scores.

Yield: 56 t/ha = 25 t/a = 1000 bushels/a5 = most desirable 1 = least desirable

Crown shape: a hollow crown receives a lower mark Score: The average of the 10 preceeding marks.

Horizontal lesions and/or cavity spots: 43VL means that 43% of the roots have a few very small lesions 82VH means that 82% of the roots have very heavy (many) large

cavity spots, roots unmarketable.

60M means that 60% of the roots have lesions or black spots of medium size and number.

Rusty Roots: Some rusty root was observed on all main trial cultivars, but none had any effect on the root development Slicer Type: Cultivar with a perfect cylindrical shape of root, as required for slicing, received a mark of 5. % Seeders (Not listed): with the exception of King Midas (12%); Bonanza (2%); and Midas Touch (2%) all other cvs developed less than 1% seeders.

CARROT - MAIN CULTIVAR PROCESSING TRIAL - 1984

					ı							r								
					Root	cs							C	olor	4					
Cultivar	Source	t/ha Mkbl	% Mkb.	Wt/root (g)	Length cm	Width cm	Uniformity	Smoothness	Crown Shape	Core Size	Green Shoulder	Exterior	Cortex	Camb. Zone	Core	Uniformity	Score	Horizontal Lesions	Slicer Type	Blight
Processor II	Sto	92	95	265	18.1	6.0	3.8	4.1	3.4	3.2	4.0	4.0	4.1	4.5	4.2	4.4	3.97	33L	2.7	3.3
Sp. Bonus '80	Asg	72	95	201	19.3	5.2	3.6	4.1	3.3	4.0	4.1	3.9	4.3	4.0	4.2	4.2	3.97	30L	3.2	4.0
Camden	Sto	83	97	231	18.3	5.8	3.9	4.2	3.2	3.4	4.0	3.9	4.2	4.2	4.1	4.2	3.93	80VL	3.0	4.0
Dess Dan	ARCO	77	94	264	20.4	5.7	3.7	4.0	3.0	3.8	4.0	3.9	4.3	4.0	4.0	4.1	3.88	37VL	2.8	3.7
Danvers Gold	SS	75	91	255	18.7	5.6	3.9	4.2	3.2	3.9	3.9	3.8	4.2	4.3	3.9	4.2	3.95	43VL	2.9	3.0
Spartan Bonus	Sto	76	95	239	21.4	5.2	3.8	4.1	3.5	3.8	4.1	3.8	4.3	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.93	43L	3.0	4.0
XPH 875	Asg	75	94	238	21.8	5.2	3.7	4.1	3.2	3.8	4.2	4.0	4.3	3.5	4.2	3.9	3.89	57VL	3.1	4.3
XPH 985	Asg	81	94	228	20.5	5.5	3.8	4.3	3.3	3.7	3.9	4.0	4.3	3.6	4.2	3.9	3.90	33VL	3.0	4.3
Touche	ARCO	83	94	253	18.8	5.8	3.9	4.0	2.6	3.8	4.0	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.0	4.1	3.82	60VL	2.9	4.0
—Casey	Asg	73	95	210	19.9	5.1	3.6	4.1	3.4	3.8	4.2	4.0	4.1	3.6	4.0	3.8	3.86	27VL	2.9	5.0
King Midas	FM	58	89	258	17.3	5.9	3.9	4.1	3.1	3.4	3.2	3.7	4.2	4.1	4.0	4.1	3.78	37L	2.7	4.0
Tahoe	Agri	83	91	222	19.8	5.3	3.7	4.0	3.3	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.8	3.81	33M	3.0	3.3
XPH 886	Asg	84	95	220	20.3	4.9	4.2	4.3	3.0	4.2	4.1	3.9	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.88	34L	3.3	4.0
—Midas Touch	FM	80	92	205	16.9	5.7	3.9	4.1	3.3	3.6	3.3	3.8	4.1	3.6	3.8	3.8	3.73	33VL	2.8	4.7
Bonanza	NK	66	93	260	26.6	4.6	4.3	4.0	3.4	3.8	3.4	3.8	4.2	3.2	3.8	3.6	4.21	67VL	3.7	4.3
Chanton	ARCO	92	94	272	18.2	6.4	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.5	2.7	3.7	4.1	3.4	3.9	3.8	3.65	58M	2.3	4.0

5 = most desirable
Listed in order of color

CARROT CULTIVAR STORAGE TRIAL - PROCESSING TYPES - 1983/84

*.			%	% Weight Loss	%	Degree		
Cultivar	Source		Marketable	In Storage	Decay	Of Decay *		
Dess Dan	ARCO		84	11	4	4.7		
A&C #126	A&C		78	11	11	4.5		
Spartan Bonus	Glo		70	10	20	4.0		
Chantenay Supreme Lg.	Tp.Sto		68	10	22	2.8		
Royal Chantenay	Sto		65	11	24	3.2		
Spartan Bonus '80	Asg		63	12	25	3.2		
Chrisma	A.Ch.		62	12	26	3.5		
Casey	Asg		60	10	30	2.9		
Chantenay Red Cored	A.Ch.		59	10	31	3.7		
Berlanda	BEJO		58	12	30	2.3		
Midas Touch	FM		58	13	29	3.4		
Spartan Classic '80	Cro		56	15	29	2.8		
Triple Gold	Jung		56	12	32	3.3		
Lucky's Gold	Glo		54	16	30	3.0		
Giant 114	PES		51	19	30	4.1		
Royal Danvers	Agw		50	11	39	3.1		
Averages			62.0	12.2	25.8	3.4		

Stored in a "Filacell" storage at $1\frac{1}{2}^{0}$ C and 95 % relative humidity from Oct. 14/83 to June 28/84 for a period of $8\frac{1}{2}$ months.

^{* 5 =} most desirable, no decay 1 = many roots, completely decayed

LONG TERM AVERAGE - CARROT PROCESSING CULTIVAR STORAGE TRIAL

	Source	# Years Tested	% mkb	% weight loss in storage	% decay	Degree of decay *
Spartan Premium	ARCO	2	89.5	7.0	3.5	4.5
Danvers Gold	Jung	2	89.1	7.7	3.2	4.0
Target	HM	2	88.5	5.5	6.0	3.5
Red Core Chantenay	Asg	4	85.9	6.9	7.2	3.3
Dess Dan	ARCO	5	84.9	9.6	5.5	3.9
Spartan Deluxe	Cro	3	84.8	6.9	8.3	3.0
Spartan Winner	Sto	3	84.7	9.7	5.6	4.3
Gold King	NK	2	84.5	8.0	7.5	3.5
Can Pak	ARCO	3	84.3	9.0	6.7	4.0
Spartan Classic	Cro	4	84.3	7.1	8.6	3.5
Spartan Bonus	Glo	5	82.9	7.4	9.7	4.0
Spartan Bonus 80	Asg	3	80.0	9.3	10.7	3.7
Danvers 126	Asg	4	72.2	9.4	18.4	2.5
Lucky's Gold	Glo	2	71.5	12.0	16.5	3.5
Casey	Asg	2	71.0	9.5	19.5	3.5
Chantenay Red Cored	A.Ch.	2 3	68.0	10.5	21.5	3.4
Royal Danvers	Agw		66.0	9.3	24.7	2.7

^{*5 =} most desirable

Stored in temperature and relative humidity controlled storages

Storage period was usually 8½ months.

LONG TERM AVERAGE OF CARROT CULTIVARS - PROCESSING TYPES

Cultivar	Source	# Years Tested	LTA Y ⁻ t/ha	ield t/a	LTA Color	LTA Score
Berlicum Bierma	NZ	3	64.0	28.7	4.23	3.84
Spartan Bonus 80	Asg	5	68.2	30.4	4.19	4.02
Dess-Dan	ARCO	9	76.8	34.3	4.15	4.00
Triple Gold	Jung	4	58.0	25.9	4.11	3.98
Tahoe	Agri	3	73.7	32.9	4.10	3.98
Spartan Bonus	Sto	11	75.0	33.5	4.08	3.94
Casey	Asg	4	66.0	29.3	4.05	3.97
Spartan Delux	Jung	6	69.0	30.8	4.00	3.92
Lucky's Gold	Glo	4	52.9	23.6	3.99	4.20
Spartan Winner	Jung	8	65.0	29.0	3.98	3.89
Midas Touch	FM	7	64.4	28.8	3.95	3.90
All Season Cross	Tak	4	76.0	34.2	3.95	3.84
Can-Pak	ARCO	6	66.3	29.6	3.90	3.81
King Midas	FM	6	61.4	27.4	3.94	3.83
Spartan Classic	Cro	9	78.7	35.1	3.88	3.86
Ch. Red Cored	Asm	5	67.6	30.1	3.88	3.72
Royal Danvers	Agw	4	70.0	31.2	3.86	3.84
Berlicum Berlinda	Asm	3	72.0	32.1	3.84	3.62
Spartan Premium	Cro	7	70.6	31.5	3.83	3.79
Oranza	BEJ0	3	74.0	33.0	3.82	3.66
Danvers 126	Asq	9	63.2	28.2	3.78	3.66
	Sto		74.0	33.0	3.74	3.66
	NK	4	71.0	31.6	3.71	3.56
Red Core Chantenay	Asg	8	71.9	32.1	3.65	3.55
Can-Pak King Midas Spartan Classic Ch. Red Cored Royal Danvers Berlicum Berlinda Spartan Premium Oranza Danvers 126 Royal Chantenay Gold King	Tak ARCO FM Cro Asm Agw Asm Cro BEJO Asg Sto NK	4 6 6 9 5 4 3 7 3 9 2 4	76.0 66.3 61.4 78.7 67.6 70.0 72.0 70.6 74.0 63.2 74.0 71.0	34.2 29.6 27.4 35.1 30.1 31.2 32.1 31.5 33.0 28.2 33.0 31.6	3.95 3.90 3.94 3.88 3.88 3.86 3.84 3.83 3.82 3.78 3.74 3.71	3.84 3.81 3.83 3.86 3.72 3.84 3.62 3.79 3.66 3.66 3.56

Listed in order of color

CARROT CULTIVAR ADAPTATION TRIAL - 1984 - PROCESSING TYPES

Cultivar	Source	t/ha Mkb	% mkb	Wt/root	Length (cm)	Width (cm)	Uniform	Smooth	Crown Shape	Core Size	Green Shoulder	Exterior	Cortex	Camb. Zone 70	Core	Uniform	Score	Hort. Lesions	Blight
Camden ARCO 127 ARCO 154 ARCO 158	ARCO ARCO ARCO ARCO	100 78 65 85	84 85 87 88	253 216 325 326	19.0 20.3 24.2 24.8	6.0 5.8 5.0 5.8	3+ 4+ 4- 4	4+ 4- 4- 4-	2+ 2+ 4 4-	3- 4- 3 3+	4 4+ 3+ 4	4+ 4 4	4 4 4 4+	4 4- 4- 4-	4- 4- 4- 3+	4 – 4 – 4 – 4 –	3.63 3.74 3.68 3.77	65L 90VL 100VL 80VL	4 4 4 5
ARCO 164 XPH 875 XPH 985 XPH 886	ARCO Asg Asg Asg	80 97 85 80	80 92 89 84	227 292 241 233	24.2 22.5 21.4 21.8	4.5 5.3 5.6 5.4	4 3+ 3 3+	4 – 4 4 4+	4- 2+ 3+ 2+	4- 3+ 3+ 4	4 4 3 4-	4- 4+ 4+ 4+	4+ 4 4+ 4	4- 4 3+ 4-	4 4 4- 4	4- 4 3+ 4-	3.85 3.72 3.55 3.73	50L 80L 40VL 100VL	5 5 5 4
Spartan Bonus 80 XPH CRK W61 XPH CRK W69 XPH CRK W105	Cro Cro Cro	55 89 74 90	73 81 85 92	213 270 253 281	24.7 19.8 19.8 23.3	4.5 6.0 5.6 5.8	4- 4- 3+ 3+	4+ 4- 4	4- 2- 2 2+	4 - 4 - 4 3+	4+ 3 4- 4	4+ 4 4+ 4	4+ 4+ 4	3 3+ 3- 4-	3+ 4- 3+ 4-	3+ 4- 3+ 4	3.79 3.48 3.46 3.63	100VL 60H 60L 90VL	4 4 4
XPH CRK W477 XPH CRK W487 Charon Fannia	Cro Cro EZ EZ	49 93 76 69	80 90 82 71	263 269 220 254	18.6 18.6 15.8 23.8	6.3 5.9 5.7 4.4	4 4 4+ 4-	4+ 4 4+ 4+	3- 2 2- 4	4- 4- 3 3+	3+ 3- 3 2-	4 4 4+ 4	4+ 4 4+ 4	4 3+ 3+ 4	4 4 - 4 - 4 -	4- 4- 3+ 4	3.80 3.51 3.52 3.67	80VL 60M 60L 50VL	4 5 5 5
Flex FMX 105 FMX 106 GRX 2207	EZ FM FM Glo	77 104 98 77	80 92 92 79	273 248 276 264	24.2 19.7 18.4 21.1	5.1 6.4 5.9 6.1	4 4 4 4-	4+ 4 4 4+	5- 2 3 4-	2 4- 4- 3+	2- 3 3- 3	4 4 4 4	4 4+ 4	4- 3+ 4 4-	4 - 4 4 -	4 - 4 - 4 + 4 -	3.58 3.60 3.77 3.71	60L 80VL 70L 80VL	4 5 5 5
GRX 2210 GRX 2212 EXP 2023 Chantenay Comet	Glo Glo HM NZ	60 56 98 87	78 67 88 82	331 231 335 247	23.9 18.5 19.6 16.2	6.3 5.9 6.4 6.7	3+ 4- 4- 4	4+ 4 4+	3- 2 3 2	4- 4- 3+ 3	2+ 3 2+ 3+	4 - 4 - 4 4	4 4 4 4+	3+ 4- 3+ 4+	3+ 4- 4- 4+	3+ 4- 4- 5-	3.39 3.52 3.50 3.82	60VL 60M 80VL 60/L	4 4 5 3

Cultivar	Source	t/ha - Mkb.	% mkb	Wt/root	Length (cm)	Width (cm)	Uniform	Smooth	Crown Shape	Core Size	Green Shoulder	Exterior	Cortex	Camb. Zone NOTO	Core	Uniform	Score	Hort. Lesions	Blight
Flakkee Karaf N1Z 68 Fl N1Z 134 Fl N1Z 135 Fl	NZ NZ NZ NZ	39 84 88 61	61 87 81 75	236 300 244 263	21.2 22.6 19.3 21.7	4.6 6.0 5.2 5.0	3 4- 4- 3	3+ 4 4+ 4	5- 3 2 2	3- 3 3+ 3+	4 3 4- 3+	4 - 4 4 4+	4 4 4 4+	4 3+ 4- 4+	4 - 4 - 4 - 4 +	4 4- 4- 4+	3.71 3.54 3.61 3.71	60M 90VL 55L 70L	5 5 4 4
N1Z 137 F1 N1Z 147 F1 VK 30 VK 32	NZ NZ PES PES	89 77 99 73	95 85 91 77	263 237 279 373	18.9 22.3 15.2 21.5	6.0 5.6 7.1 4.0	4 – 4 4+ 4	4+ 4+ 4	2- 2+ 2+ 3	3 4 3 4-	3 3+ 3- 3+	4+ 4+ 4- 4+	4+ 4+ 4	4- 4+ 4- 3+	4 4 4- 4-	4 - 4 + 4 - 4 -	3.57 3.91 3.51 3.73	50L 100VL 80L 100VL	4 5 5 4
VK 34 VK 747 Royal Chantenay Danvers 126	PES PES PETO PETO	61 95 86 101	79 93 87 91	261 285 230 281	20.8 20.2 16.9 20.6	5.1 6.3 6.6 6.2	4- 3+ 4- 4+	4+ 4+ 4	3- 2- 3- 3	2- 4- 3- 3	2 3 4 4	4 4+ 4+ 4	3 4+ 4- 4-	4- 4- 3+ 3+	3+ 4- 4- 4-	3 4- 3 3+	3.14 3.57 3.51 3.63	80L 60L 60L	5 4 4 5
Triple Gold EXP 5056 EXP 5057 EXP 5058	SS Sto Sto Sto	54 64 93 74	76 91 86 88	194 240 262 252	17.3 21.2 23.1 18.7	5.6 5.9 5.1 5.9	4- 4 4- 4-	4 4- 4 4	2 3+ 3- 2+	4- 3 3+ 4	3+ 3+ 4- 3	4 4 4 4+	4+ 4+ 4 5-	4- 3- 4- 4-	4 4 4 4	4 3+ 4 4-	3.67 3.56 3.71 3.74	60L 70VL 100VL 60M	3 5 4 5
EXP 5059 EXP 5060 (4367 X 3640 2)	Sto Sto USDA USDA	96 79 61 42	92 81 70 61	271 329 222 205	18.8 21.0 19.6 16.7	6.1 6.6 5.5 5.8	4- 4 4-	4+ 4 4- 4+	1+ 2 4- 3	4- 4- 4- 4-	3- 4- 4+ 4-	4+ 4+ 4+ 4+	4 4+ 4- 4+	3+ 3+ 4+ 5-	3+ 4 3+ 4	3 4- 3 4	3.36 3.70 3.80 3.97	60/M 60L 60M 90H	4 5 5 5

... / continued

CARROT CULTIVAR ADAPTATION TRIAL - 1984 - PROCESSING TYPES - continued

											der		CC	LOR				Su	
Cultivar	Source	t/ha Mkb	% Mkb	Wt/root	Length (cm)	Width (cm)	Uniform	Smooth	Crown Shape	Core Size	Green Should	Exterior	Cortex	Camb. Zone	Core	Uniform	Score	Hort. Lesion	Blight
Danvers Gold Lucky's Gold	UW UW	67 38	72 76	281 285	18.8 18.4	5.9	4- 4-	4	2 3+	4 4-	3+ 4	4+ 4+	4+ 4+	4 - 4	4	4	3.73 3.93	100L 90VL	4 5
Campestra * Camberley * Campus * Camden *	Sha Sha Sha Sha	31 38 39 59	55 77 78 83	220 184 250 216	19.1 21.0 18.6 18.3	5.6 4.7 5.8 5.9	3.2 3.7 3.7 3.9	3.8 4.2 4.0 4.2	3.2 3.3 3.4 2.9	3.3 3.3 3.2 3.5	3.8 3.7 3.5 4.0	4.0 4.2 4.1 4.2	4.0 4.4 4.3 4.2	3.9 4.0 4.1 4.0	3.9 4.1 4.1 4.2	4.1 4.2 4.0 3.1	3.72 3.91 3.84 3.82	93 VL 85 VL 57 L 87 VL	4.7 4 4.7 4.7

^{*} Samples received late in season. Yield + % marketable effected by herbicide application and poor soil conditions.

5 = most desirable

1 = least desirable

Seeders: (not listed) 20% of the cultivars developed seeders, but never in excess of 1% of plants.

Rusty Root: (not listed) This disorder developed very late in season and all though observed on many cultivars, did not restrict the root development, with the exception of Flakkee Karaf, and to a lesser degree on Danvers 126, and 8532 X 6345.

Slicer Types: (not listed) None of the cultivars in this trial seemed suitable for slicers.

For further explanations see Main Trial - Processing Types

LIST	OF	SLICER	TYPE	CARROT	CULTIVARS	- 1984
------	----	--------	------	--------	-----------	--------

Cultivar	Source	T/ha Marketable	% Over Size	% Mkb	Stand/Meter	Length (cm)	Width (cm)	Size	Shape	Appearance	Resist. to Greening	Interior	Exterior so	Core Size	Hortizontal Lesions	Rusty Root	Blight	Slicer	Score
Vitasweet tm 500	A&C	105	25	86	46	17.9	4.0	4	4	4-	3+	3+	4	4+	30M	5	2 2 4	4+	3.80
Vitasweet tm 750	A&C	90	17	94	37	22.6	3.6	4+	4	4+	4	4-	4	4+	80L	5		4+	4.09
E 371	EZ	48	8	66	30	17.9	3.8	4+	3+	3+	3	4	4+	4	80M	5		4	3.74
Nantes Strong Top	FM	65	4	79	44	15.8	3.5	4	3-	3 3 3	2	4-	4	4	70M	5	4	4	3.34
FMX 268	FM	73	10	87	40	16.5	3.5	4-	3+		4	5-	4-	4+	90L	5	3	4	3.81
Amsterdam	NZ	36	11	66	30	19.8	2.8	4	4-		3+	4	4	4+	60M	5	4	4	3.76
Nantes Robin	NZ	80	19	83	28	19.7	3.9	5-	4+	4	3-	4	4+	4	40L	5	4	4+	4.00
Nantes Titan	NZ	87	16	78	36	21.0	3.6	4+	4+	4	4	4-	4-	4+	50M	5	5	4	4.04
Touchon Echo	NZ	58	0	87	33	20.9	2.8	5-	4	4	2+	4+	4-	4	60L	5	4	4+	3.86
Clairon Nevesta Rondino	RS RS RS	55 73 69	7 14 8	59 69 75	43 34 39	19.1 18.6 18.1	3.5 3.8 3.6	3+ 4 4	4 - 4 4	3+ 4 3+	4 4 3	4 4- 4-	4 4+ 4-	4 4- 4-	55L 80L 70M	5 5 4	4 4 4	4 4+ 4+	3.76 3.96 3.63
Tamino Elvy Nanthya Exp 642	RS S&G S&G PES	110 90 71 86	31 22 15 25	95 74 65 87	37 40 37 30	19.6 19.3 18.6 20.1	3.8 3.9 3.7 3.9	4+ 4- 4	4- 3+ 3+ 4-	4 3 3+ 3+	3- 3+ 3+ 4	4- 4- 3+ 4-	4+ 4+ 4	4- 4- 3+ 2	100L 85M 80L 90M	5 5 5 4	4 4 5 4	4 4+ 4 4	3.67 3.57 3.50 3.49

The above cultivars were the best slicer types in the Adaptation Packaging Trial See also Carrot Observation Trial

Horizontal lesions and/or cavity spots: VL= very lightly affected with a few very small horizontal lesions
VH = very heavily affected with many large cavity spots
M = medium

^{* 5 =} most desirable 1 = least desirable.

CAULIFLOWER MAIN CULTIVAR TRIAL - 1984

Cultivar	Source	Mean Harvest Date	% Harvested in best week	% Unmarketable	Reason Unmarketable	Ave. wt/hd. (kg)	t/ha	cr/ha	Cr/a	Color	Ricey	Bracted	Loose	Curd Prot.	
White Top	SG	S.11	44	1.9	R	1.7	42	2102	851	4.9	4.7	4.9	4.7	3.7	
Andes	RS	S.04	42	1.8	RB	1.6	40	2063	835	4.7	4.6	4.9	4.9	3.9	
White Rock	SG	S.16	48	2.2	D	1.7	41	1944	787	4.8	5.0	4.9	4.6	4.2	
Hormade White Empress White Summer	NZ Twi SG	S.06 A.25 S.08	43 58 76	3.7 0 2.1	C U	1.6 1.2 1.4	39 30 34	2063 2142 1983	835 867 803	4.7 4.8 4.7	4.6 4.8 4.9	4.7 5.0 5.0	5.0 4.6 4.6	3.7 3.9 3.7	
White Fox	SG	S.08	60	2.2	RB	1.6	36	1904	771	4.6	4.7	4.9	4.8	3.9	
Solocrop	NZ	S.13	48	12.9	RC	1.5	35	1864	755	4.7	4.0	4.7	4.9	3.3	
Snow Crown	HM	A.20	56	6.2	CRB	1.1	24	1825	739	4.3	3.9	4.9	4.2	2.5	

Main Trial replicated 3 times

Direct seeded on June 7, spacing 86 cm X 45 cm. Part of the row not tied to judge curd protection.

5 = most desirable

Reason Unmarketable: C= color, R - ricey, B = bracted, D = decay, U = undersize, L = loose.

The defect mentioned first is the most serious reason for unmarketability.

Black rot developed in the cvs Solocrop, Andes, and Hormade.

CAULIFLOWER CULTIVAR TRIAL - ADAPTATION - 1984

Non-replicated		Date	ted week	etable	able	nd. (kg)	1	MKB YIELI	0					.:	
Cultivar	Source	Mean Harvest [% Harvested in best wee	% Unmarketable	Reason Unmarketable	Ave. wt/hd.	t/ha	cr/ha	cr/a	Color	Ricey	Bracted	Loose	Curd Prot.	
Self Blanche Taipan Snow King White Contessa	HM Sto Tak A&C		39 74 94 100	5.6 10.5 5.6 15.8	U BR LRC L	1.6 1.6 1.1	38 39 27	2023 2023 2023 1904	819 819 819 771	4.8 4.5 4.0 3.8	5.0 4.7 4.9 4.6	4.9 4.9 5.0 5.0	4.9 4.4 3.9 3.4	4.7 4.0 2.9 2.7	
Ballade Cervina 81-831 78-882	NZ RS MSU MSU	S.16 S.21 A.21 A.13	35 47 59 94	11.8 6.7 17.6 22.2	D D RLC LR	1.5 2.0 1.0 .8	32 40 20 16	1785 1666 1666 1666	723 674 674 674	4.9 4.6 4.4 3.9	4.5 4.9 4.6 4.2	4.9 4.7 4.9 5.0	5.0 4.8 3.6 3.5	4.3 4.2 3.8 3.6	
Starlight Silverstar Venus	A&C HM NZ	S.13 0.17 A.27	39 29 83	27.8 42.8 72.2	Cr RCL RB	1.2 1.5 1.2	22 17 8	1547 952 595	626 385 241	3.5 4.1 3.8	4.1 4.8 3.3	5.0 5.0 5.0	5.0 4.6 4.5	3.0 4.4 1.7	
Alpha-Begum Snowdrift	NZ Swy	S.11 S.04	47 38	73.3 81.3	RBC RBC	1.2	7 4	476 357	193 145	4.3 4.7	3.3 3.3	3.5 3.3	5.0 5.0	2.8	

Direct seeded on June 7, spacing 86 cm X 45 cm. Part of row not tied to judge curd protection.

5 = most desirable

Reason Unmarketable: C= color, R = Ricey, B = bracted, D = decay, U = undersize, L = Loose.

The defect mentioned first is the most serious reason for unmarketability.

CELERY STORAGE CULTIVAR TRIAL - 1984/85

Listed in order of % marketable yield after storage

Aft	er	Storage	Perio	od of	80	Days	

				3 30 00		Reasons Unma		% by weight	t)
Cultivar	Source	Harv. Yield t/ha	% Mkb	Quality	Storage Loss	Wilt	Black Stem	Decay	Loss of Color
Strain 2-14	A&C	67	50	3.6	6	15	7	18	4
T.U. 52-70R Imp.	HM	62	50	3.4	10	10	4	22	4
Summit	Sto	48	47	3.3	8	11	4	22	8
Florida 683	HM	83	46	3.5	17	8	9	17	3
Imp. U. 52-70	Sto	75	45	3.6	9	12	8	22	4
Ventura	FM	88	43	3.2	9	2	10	30	6
Bishop	HM	62	39	3.1	10	8	1	36	6
Surepak	Sto	55	33	3.3	12	27	5	20	3
Clean Cut	HM	67	32	3.5	14	26	5	19	4
Green Giant	A&C	92	29	2.8	13	8	2	38	10

Seeded May 28 in greenhouse, planted to the field July 18 at a spacing of 60 cm X 15 cm. Replicated 3 times.

750 kg/ha 10-0-50 + 20 kg/ha Borax was applied prior to transplanting, and 2X a sidedressing was applied of 200 kg/ha Chilean Nitrate. The plot was irrigated when less than 25 mm rainfall was received. The water table was kept at 50 cm. Besides a regular pesticide spray program 2 X l kg/ha Solubar was applied with the sprays.

On Oct. 1, 20 stalks of each replication of each cultivar were cut, trimmed, weighed, and placed in a pallet box. The stalks were drenched with a 6% sodium hypochlorite solution at 3.3 L/1000 L of water and placed in a "Filacell" type of storage where the temperature was a constant $1.5\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ and the relative humidity over 95%.

After 80 days in storage data was taken.

5 = most desirable.

CELERY CULTIVAR BOLTING - MAIN TRIAL 1984

				Pet.	Total	[- <u>_</u>				%	Resistant
		Mkb.Wt.	% Trim	Lgth	Lgth	Rib-	Diam	Compact	Boron	Seed-	to
Cultivar	Source	t/ha	Loss	(cm)	(cm)	bing	(cm)	_ ness	defic.	ers	Bolting
Summit	Sto	94	17	30	59	S	7.6	3.0	4.1	28	4.2
Ventura (FM 1213)	FM	102	18	32	62	R	7.9	3.9	4.1	65	3.2
Tendercrisp	Sto			NO.	T MARKE	ETABLE				93	1.7
Bishop	НМ									97	2.0
Clean Cut	НМ			н	11					97	1.8
Florida 683	A&C			11	п					100	1.8
Deacon	HM				п					100	1.8
Green Giant	A&C			"	11					100	1.1
Surepak	Sto			п	п					100	1.1
Tall Utah 52-70	Sto			11	11					100	1.1
Tall Utah 52-70R IMP	HM			11	11					100	1.0
Improved 52-70R	A&C			п						100	0.9
Improved Utah 52-70	Sto			н	11					100	0.8
FMX-1217	FM			п	п					100	0.8

NOTES:

Seeded February 22, 1984 in greenhouse. Transplanted into flats: March 20, 1984. From April 24 to May 10 (16 days) placed in "Filacell" storage at 1°C and 95% relative humidity as a cold treatment. Planted to field on May 11, 1984.

Replicated 3 times - spacing: 60 X 15 cm

Harvest dates: July 26, 31, and Aug. 3, 1984

At harvest all cultivars produced 100% seedstalks with the exception of Summit and Ventura. The cold treatment was obviously too severe.

Minimum night temperatures on May $13 - 3^{\circ}$ C for 7.5 hours, on May $14 - 4^{\circ}$ C for 8.5 hours, on May 50° C for 0.5 hours, on May $16 - 4.5^{\circ}$ C for 0.5 hours, and on May $17 - 4.0^{\circ}$ C for 8 hours.

5 = most desirable 1 = least desirable.

CELERY MIDSUMMER - OBSERVATION TRIAL - 1984

Cultivar	Source	Mkb wt t/ha	% Trim loss	Pet. Lgth. cm	Total Lgth cm	Rib- bing	Diam	Crisp ness	Stri ngy	Com- pact ness	Boron defic.		Quality Rating	·
Exp ss-300 Ventura FM 56 FM 60	Sto FM FM FM	174 173 152 151	19 22 26 27	33 34 32 33	68 70 67 66	R R R	9.0 9.0 8.4 8.6	4+ 4+ 3+ 4	4+ 4+ 3 4	3+ 4- 4 4-	5- 5 4+ 4-	3- 3 3+ 4-	3.9 4.1 3.6 3.8	
FM 72 FM 50 T.U. 52-75 Imp FM 75	FM FM FM FM	146 143 130 130	28 32 25 22	36 40 33 28	67 70 63 58	R R MS MS	8.6 8.2 8.6 9.0	4+ 4 4	4+ 3- 3+ 4-	4+ 4 3+ 3	5 5 3- 3-	4+ 3- 4- 3+	4.4 3.7 3.4 3.3	
Cry 004 Tall Green Light Florida 683 Cry 003	HM HM HM HM	168 159 156 142	31 26 29 26	27 29 30 31	60 60 63 60	R MR R R	10.0 10.4 10.4 11.6	4+ 4+ 4	4 3+ 4- 3	4- 3+ 3+ 4+	4- 5 4+ 4	3+ 3+ 4+	3.8 3.8 3.7 3.9	
Exp 378 K-3 81-654 79-57	HM MSU MSU MSU	100 164 160 157	43 24 30 17	28 30 36 32	55 66 67 63	M MS R MS	8.6 9.4 8.8 9.6	4 4+ 4	3- 4+ 4- 3	2 4 4 4	1+ 4 5 4-	4 4- 4+ 5-	2.8 4.1 4.2 3.9	
81-660 68-37 K-4 72-109 VK	MSU MSU MSU MSU	156 149 148 144	15 24 28 26	36 38 35 31	72 69 62 62	R MS MR MS	8.2 9.4 9.2 8.4	4+ 4+ 4+ 4+	3+ 4+ 4 4+	4- 4- 4+ 4+	4 4 3+ 4-	4+ 5- 4 5-	3.9 4.2 4.0 4.3	
83-638 74-56 74-75 79-43	MSU MSU MSU MSU	144 142 142 141	24 28 28 28	31 33 26 27	62 64 55 63	MR MR S R	8.6 8.8 9.0 8.4	4+ 4+ 4	3+ 4- 4 4+	4 4- 3 3+	5- 4- 4+ 5-	4 - 4 - 5 - 4	4.0 3.8 4.0 4.1	

Cultivar	Source	Mkb wt t/ha	% Trim loss	Pet. Lgth cm	Total Lgth cm	Rib- bing	Diam	Crisp ness	Stri- ngy	Com- pact ness	Boron defic.	Yellow Leaves	Quality Rating
81-619	MSU	140	43	33	68	R	8.4	4	3	4	5	4+	4.1
81-655	MSU	137	28	34	70	S	8.6	4+	4	4	4-	4+	4.1
81-604	MSU	133	21	32	70	R	8.4	4+	4	4+	5-	3+	4.1
78-74	MSU	131	31	33	64	R	8.8	4+	4+	4	5-	4+	4.3
74-100	MSU	130	26	30	55	MS	9.0	4+	4-	3+	5-	4+	4.1
77-43	MSU	128	35	28	65	M	8.8	4+	4+	3+	5-	3+	4.0
72-73	MSU	124	39	36	70	R	8.8	4	3-	4	5-	5-	4.0
72-41	MSU	122	32	30	60	MR	8.0	4	3	3+	4+	5	3.9
FL. 683K Strain	Sun	147	27	29	63	R	9.2	4	3-	4-	5	4-	3.8
T.U. 52-70 H.K.Strain		145	28	26	62	R	8.4	4	3	4	4	3-	3.5
Grande	Sun	144	30	28	65	R	9.5	4-	3+	4 –	3+	4	3.6

Seeded: April 30, 1984 in flats in a greenhouse, transplanted at 78 plants per flat

Transplanted to field on June 18 in rows 57 cm apart, 15 cm apart in the row.

No cold treatment was given, no seeders were observed and no seedstalk rating was given.

Normal growing practices were followed including irrigation when deemed necessary.

Harvest took place on Sept. 5 when some cultivars such as FM 50 and FM 56 were slightly overmature.

The incidence of vertically split stems was noticed with some splits running the full length of the stem in cultivars such as Ventura, FM 50 and FM 56, Cry 003, and Cry 004, and Exp SS-300, to a lesser degree this was also observed in FM 72, 81-654, 81-655, Fla 683 K. Strain, Grande and T.U. 52-70 H.K. Strain. The cultivar 74-56 developed 20% C.M.V.

5 = most desirable 1 = least desirable

The marks for Boron deficiency indicate the incidence of cracked stem (cat scratches) and/or nodal cracking; nodal cracking usually occurred only to a light degree.

100 t/ha = 44 t/a = 1400 cr/a

		M	IDSUM	MER HE	AD LETTUCE CULT	IVAR	TRIAL	- 1984	_		- Cu		
											ch (cm)	ity	
Cultivar	Source	Days to Harvest	Wt/carton (18 heads)	(kg) % Mkble	Reason Non-Mkble	Diameter (cm)	% Tipburn	Bottom Rot	Firmness	Uniformity	Internal Stem Length	Acceptability	Remarks
Main - Seeded June	6, 1984.	Rep li		3 time	es								9
Montello M.F. Raleigh Shawnee Ithaca M.F.	PETO Guz Guz PETO	62 63 62 62	18 19 17 22	100 97 97 100	Slime Slime	15 15 14 16	0 0 0	4.4 4.2 4.2 3.9	4.3 4.4 4.4 4.2	4.5 4.3 4.4 4.2	5.1 4.8 4.6 5.7	4.5 4.4 4.3 4.2	Some heads small Some heads small
Ithaca Str. 989 Ithaca M.T. XP 993 Montello M.C.	Asg FM Asg Sun	63 62 65 62	22 22 19 19	100 100 97 97	B. Rot Slime	15 16 15 16	5 0 2 7	4.1 4.3 4.2 4.1	4.0 4.2 4.1 4.3	3.8 4.4 4.0 4.2	4.5 5.4 4.3 5.1	4.2 4.2 4.2 4.2	
Ithaca Southbay Green Lake Green Lake M.F.	HM Guz Sun PETO	62 65 63 63	25 17 18 20	93 87 90 93	Slime Slime Slime Slime	16 14 15 16	7 0 3 5	4.4 4.1 4.3 4.1	4.4 4.3 4.3 4.3	4.4 4.3 4.4 4.2	5.2 4.7 5.6 5.5	4.2 4.1 4.1 4.1	Some heads small
Montello Green Lake XP 992 Minetto M.F.	HM HM Asg PETO	62 62 65 63	20 20 19 16	90 93 87 80	Slime B. Rot, Slime Slime	15 16 15 13	17 0 5 0	4.3 4.1 3.7 4.4	4.6 4.3 4.3 4.0	4.6 4.4 3.9 3.9	5.4 5.4 4.2 4.4	4.1 4.0 4.0 4.0	Poor stand
Green Lake M.T. Yuma M.T. Van Mor	FM HM HM	63 68 68	19 27 26	73 93 87	Slime, B.Rot Slime Double heads	15 19 19	10 82 100	3.6 4.2 4.2	4.3 2.1 2.1	4.6 4.1 4.0	4.8 13.7 13.8	3.7 1.2 1.0	Going to seed br. r. Going to seed,br. r.
LEGEND: 5 = n	nost desir	able			Spacing =	43 Y	30 cm						
	= bottom				Tipburn de				heads				
5					i ipodi ii de	CCC CCC	a by C	uccing	neads				

br.r = brown ribs

Wt (carton) 18 kg = 40 lbs

LATE HEAD LETTUCE CULTIVAR TRIAL - 1984

Main - Seeded June 29 - replicated 3 times

Cultivar	Source	Days to Harvest	Wt/Carton 18 heads (kg)	% Mkble	Reason Non-marketable	Diameter (cm)	% Tipburn	Bottom Rot	Firmness	Uniformity	Internal Stem Length (cm)	Acceptability	
XP 992 Ithaca Str. 989 XP 993 XP 5277 Green Lakes MT	Asg Asg Asg Asg FM	61 62 64	21 18 18	93 90 80 87	Soft, bottom rot Bottom rot Bottom rot NO EMERGENCE Bottom rot	16 16 16	0 0 0	3.6 3.4 3.4	4.2 3.7 4.0 4.1	3.7 4.0 3.8 4.1	5.1 6.2 4.9 6.3	4.3 3.9 3.7 4.0	
Ithaca MT Raleigh Southbay Shawnee Ithaca	FM Guz Guz Guz HM	63 62 63 65 60	19 17 16 15 20	90 97 95 87 97	Soft/Bottom rot Slime Bottom rot Bottom rot Bottom rot	16 15 15 15 15	0 0 0 3 0	3.8 4.2 3.9 3.1 3.7	4.0 4.0 3.9 4.1 4.0	3.9 4.0 3.9 3.9 3.9	6.1 6.1 6.1 5.7 7.0	3.9 4.0 3.7 3.4 4.0	
Green Lake Montello Van Mor Yuma MT Ithaca MF	HM HM HM HM PETO	61 67 67 60	17 17 20	93 87	Slime,Bottom rot Bottom rot NOT HEADING NOT HEADING	15 15	0 0	3.9 3.4 4.1	4.1 4.3 4.0	3.8 4.1	6.0 6.2 5.9	4.0 3.9 4.3	
Montello MF Green Lake MF Minetto MF	PETO PETO PETO	61 61	19 18	90 97	Slime Bottom rot NO EMERGENCE	15 16	0 3	3.7	4.4	4.4	6.5 7.0	4.1	7
Green Lake MC Montello MC	SUN Sun	64 61	18 17	100 87	Bottom rot	15 15	0	4.0 3.6	4.1	4.2	5.7 6.0	4.3 4.1	

^{5 =} most desirable, 18 kg = 40 lbs.

MIDSUMMER HEAD LETTUCE CULTIVAR TRIAL - ADAPTATION - 1984

Seeded: June 6, 198	Source Source	Days to Harvest	Wt/Carton (18 heads)(kg)	% Mkble.	Reason Non-mkble.	Diameter (cm)	% Tipburn	Bottom Rot	Firmness	Uniformity	Internal Stem Length (cm)	Acceptability	Remarks
XP 5274 XP 5276 XP 5278 65 LM-1	Asg Asg Asg Asg	62 62 68	15 13	60 50 100	NO STAND	16 13	10 0 40	4+ 5- 4	4- 4 3+	4+ 4+ 3-	5.0 5.8 5.0	4 4 3-	Poor stand Poor stand Brown rib
65 LM-8 65 LM-12 65 LM-13 65 LM-16	Asg Asg Asg Asg	62 68 65 65	17 18 18 19	100 90 90 90	Slime brown rib Slime	14 15 16 16	10 20 10 5	4 4- 4 4	4 4- 4+ 4	4+ 3+ 4 4+	4.4 5.5 4.6 5.6	4 3 4 4+	Brown rib Many brown ribs
65 LM-19 65 LM-20 Malika Nabucco	Asg Asg SG RS	65 62 68 72	18 18 17 18	100 100 80 80	Double heads Slime	16 16 16	25 0 30 100	4+ 4- 3+ 4+	4 4 3- 3+	3+ 4+ 2 4-	4.6 5.0 20.0 8.0	3- 4 1	Seed stalks
Nerone FL-1265 FL-1366 El Toro	RS Guz Guz HM	72 65 65 65	23 12 19 27	80 80 70 90	Slime,bot.rot Slime,bot.rot Slime Slime	17 13 15 19	100 0 0 0	4 4 5- 4	3 3+ 4- 3-	4 4- 4- 4	21.0 4.6 6.0 14.6	1 4- 4 3-	100% seeders, br.r Uneven stand Uneven stand
Exp. 183E Empire MF Vanguard 75MF Raleigh Kellys Lake Nyah	HM PETO PETO Sun Agr Sha	62 65 65 65	11 19 15 17	50 80 80 60	Slime Seeders Slime 100 % SEEDERS Slime	13 15 12 14	70 40 100 60	4 4 3+ 4 3.9	2- 3 1 4- 3.4	3 4 4+ 4	11.0 4.4 16.4 5.6 6.0	1 3+ 1 2	50% seeders

MIDSUMMER	ROMAINE	LETTUCE	CULTIVAR	TRIAL	-	1984

Cultivar	Source	Days to Harvest	WT/Carton (18 heads)(kg)	% Mkble.	Reason Non-mkble	Diameter (cm)	% Tipburn	Bottom Rot	Firmness	Uniformity	Internal Stem Length (cm)	Acceptability	Remarks
Main - Seeded I	May 30 - re	eplicate	ed 3 t	imes									
Parr 15 Signal Parris Island Signal XP-825 XP-930 XP-996 XP-997 XP-998 XP-999 Paris Island Valmaine Parris Island EXP-320 Valmaine FL-43008	PETO PETO HM Asg Asg Asg Asg Asg Asg HM HM HM Guz	63 55 58 55 58 57 58 61 56 57 62 64 56 57 65 67	18 13 16 13 14 16 16 17 15 14 18 20* 15 16 18 24	100 96 100 100 100 100 100 100 97 100 100 97	Slime Slime Tip burn	15 13 15 13 14 15 15 16 14 15 16 14	3 0 3 15 2 8 2 10 2 2 2 10 3 5 18 5	4.5 3.9 4.4 3.9 4.3 4.2 4.6 4.4 4.0 4.2 3.6 4.5 4.6	4.1 4.0 4.0 3.9 4.1 4.0 4.1 3.9 4.1 4.3 4.2 4.1 4.0 4.7	4.2 4.3 4.4 4.5 4.5 4.2 4.4 4.0 4.3 4.4 4.1 4.4 3.9	9.6 6.6 8.1 7.1 7.5 7.1 9.8 10.2 8.1 8.8 9.3 11.1 7.6 7.4 11.0 14.5	4.2 4.3 4.4 4.2 4.1 4.3 4.2 4.3 4.6 4.2 4.3 4.6 4.6	Compact, harvested late Brown rib * Harvested late Beautiful, but does not close in
ADAPTATION - no	n-renlicat	ha:											
EXP 320 Corsaro Romance FUX 1564	HM RS SG FM	55 55 70 59	10 12 14 17	100 100 100 100		10 13 10 16	0 0 10 20	4 4- 5- 5	4 – 4 4 4	5- 5- 2 4+	5.2 8.0 7.0 11.2	4 4 4 4	

5.0 = most desirable, 18 kg - 40 lbs.

ONION CULTIVAR TRIALS - 1984

Crop Management Information

Fertilizer: 1000 kg/ha 10-0-5 + 30 kg/ha copper sulfate

Seeding: May 3 at 52 seeds/m (16/ft) with a 5 cm wide scatter shoe. The seed was coated with

Thiram. 35 kg/ha 5% Dyfonate plus 10% Thiram was applied in the seed furrow.

Weed Control: pre- 2.5 L/ha Gramoxone

loop: 9 L/ha Randox + 8 L/ha CIPC

post: 5.6 L/ha Randox + 2.8 L/ha Tok applied 4 times at 2 week intervals.

Grass Control: Hoe Grass

Insect Control: 1.1 kg/ha Diazinon or 550 ml/ha Dibrom applied when necessary.

Disease Control: 2.2 kg/ha Maneb or Zineb weekly after June 28.

Minor Elements: 6 applications of 3 kg/ha Manganese Sulphate

Water Table: Kept at 60 cm, no irrigation used.

Sprout Inhibition: 8.4 L M-H30 in 550 L water/ha on August 28 when 25 to 75% of the tops were down.

The Main Trial is listed in order of maturity and is replicated 3 times.

The date of maturity is the date when at least 85% of the tops are down.

Stand/meter: 33 bulbs/m = 10 bulbs/ft.

Type of Culls: D = Double R = Rot W = White U = Undersize S = Sprouted

Yield/ha: is the marketable yield over 44 mm (1 3/4"); 56 t/ha = 25 T/A = 1000 Bags/acre.

Shape: (Marks not listed) most cultivars were globe shaped, except Sweet Sandwich which resembled more a top shape, and Downing Yellow Globe which was slightly flattened.

Ave. weight/bulb: 100 grams - 3.5 oz. = bulb $2\frac{1}{4}$ " in size.

Score: Average of last 7 marks 5 = most desirable 1 = least desirable

ONION CULTIVAR MAIN TRIAL - 1984

			4		KB ELD			ght (g)		UNIF	ORM		Thickness		ų,		*	
Cultivar	Source	Days to Maturity	Stand/Meter	T/ha	Bags/Acre	% # 1 Smal	% Cu11s	Ave. Weigh	Firmness	Size	Shape	Color	Skin Thick	Skinning	Neck Finish	Score	Tip Dieback	% Seeders
-Norstar	Sto	106	22	65.1	1159	1	5.5	134	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.8	3.2	3.1	4.3	3.80	4.7	1.0
-Eskimo	Tak	107	20	57.9	1031	1	2.5	130	3.9	4.1	4.2	4.0	3.6	3.2	4.4	3.92	4.4	0.3
Columbia	FM	113	19	58.5	1041	1	2.0	138	3.9	4.1	3.9	4.0	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.88	4.4	1.7
Capable	ARCO	113	20	57.1	1016	2	2.6	127	3.8	3.8	4.0	3.8	3.1	3.0	4.2	3.68	3.7	3.0
—Buccaneer Imp.	HM	115	27	64.1	1141	4	2.0	78	4.1	4.0	4.1	4.0	3.7	3.3	3.9	3.87	4.2	2.0
—Tamarack	Sto	115	23	53.0	943	3	2.9	105	4.4	3.9	3.9	4.0	3.2	3.5	3.9	3.83	3.8	0.7
Autumn Splendor	JHK	115	26	63.8	1136	2	4.2	113	4.1	3.8	3.8	3.9	3.8	3.3	4.0	3.82	4.1	1.0
Autumn Glo	Cro	116	23	56.3	1002	3	1.7	111	4.0	4.0	3.9	3.7	3.8	3.3	4.1	3.83	3.1	0.7
North Star	FM	117	19	52.2	929	1	3.8	125	3.9	4.0	3.9	4.3	3.8	3.6	3.8	3.89	3.7	0.3
Coppermine	FM	117	27	65.8	1171	3	1.3	110	3.4	3.9	3.9	4.1	3.6	3.4	4.2	3.79	3.5	0.7
— Abco	A&C	117	25	65.4	1164	1	7.0	122	4.0	4.0	3.3	4.0	3.9	3.3	3.9	3.78	4.0	6.3
Autumn Keeper	JHK	118	26	59.2	1054	4	2.2	105	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.8	3.6	3.6	4.1	3.83	4.0	1.0
Early Pak XPH 3288 —Sentinel —Sweet Sandwich	Cro	120	22	51.6	918	2	3.5	107	4.1	3.9	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.9	3.80	3.7	1.3
	Asg	121	21	62.4	1111	1	2.0	131	4.0	4.1	3.8	3.9	4.0	4.0	3.3	3.87	3.4	1.0
	HM	121	25	59.9	1066	2	2.0	109	4.1	4.0	3.7	4.0	3.6	3.7	3.8	3.82	3.8	2.3
	PETO	122	19	67.8	1207	1	0.0	160	3.8	4.1	4.1	4.3	4.0	4.0	3.4	3.97	3.1	0.3
XPH 3318 —Russet Gibralter Surecrop	Asg	123	23	52.9	942	3	1.0	102	4.2	4.2	4.0	4.1	3.7	3.9	4.0	4.01	1.9	1.0
	Sto	123	23	71.2	1267	1	2.3	140	3.8	3.4	3.7	3.9	3.8	3.9	3.7	3.74	3.2	0.7
	FM	125	24	59.3	1056	4	2.0	112	4.2	3.9	3.7	4.2	3.7	3.8	4.1	3.93	3.3	1.7
	HM	125	23	62.3	1109	1	6.7	129	4.0	4.2	4.0	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.4	3.90	3.0	1.3
Cuprum Super Sleeper Canada Maple Better Banner Downing Yel.Globe	ARCO HM Sto A&C Kru	126 127 127 131 134	25 27 24 17 21	61.9 61.4 62.3 56.6 60.3	1102 1093 1109 1007 1073	3 3 2 1	3.5 4.8 0.0 6.5 5.0	113 107 117 151 132	4.0 4.3 4.1 3.6 3.8	4.0 4.0 4.1 3.6 4.1	3.9 3.8 4.0 3.3 3.9	4.0 4.0 3.6 4.2 3.6	3.7 3.9 3.9 4.0 3.7	3.8 3.8 3.7 3.9	3.9 3.8 3.9 3.1 2.6	3.90 3.94 3.90 3.64 3.64	3.2 4.0 4.0 4.3 4.0	1.0 2.0 0.7 1.0

* 5 = most desirable

		% Weight Loss	% Rot	% Sprouted	% Soft	% Mkble	
Cultivar	Source	In Storage	By Weight	By Weight	By Weight	By Weight	Firmness*
Canada Maple	Sto	6	0	0	1	93	4.1
Fawn Preview	FM	7	0	0	1	92	4.0
Spartan Banner '80'	Agw	7	1	0	0	92	3.7
Abco	A&C	6	1	0	2	91	3.7
Copra	BEJ0	· 7	1	0	1	91	4.3
Buccaneer Imp.	HM	8	1	0	0	91	4.1
Guprum	ARCO	8	1	0	0	91	3.7
Simcoe	ARCO	7	1	0	1 4	91	4.0
Taurus	Asg	6	0	0	4	90	3.7
Early Pak	E.J.	7	1	0	2	90	3.6
Spartan Sleeper	USDA	8	. 1	0	. 1	90	4.5
Sentinel	HM	10	1	0	0	89	3.9
Trapp #8	Tra	7	1	0	3	89	3.9
Sweet Sandwich	A.Ch.	8	1	0	3	88	3.3
Tamarack	Sto	8	1	1	2	88	3.8
Exporter	Sto	9	1	1	2	87	3.6
Mucker	ARCO	7	1	0	5	87	3.6
Aries	Asg	8	0	1	5	86	3.4
Better Banner	A&C	8	2	0	6	84	3.1
Autumn Pride	E.J.	7	2	1	6	84	3.1
Northern Oak	Sto	9	2	1	5	83	3.2
Progress	HM	8	1	2	8	81	3.1
Russet	Sto	8	0	2	10	80	2.8
Eskimo	Tak	7	2	10	5	76	3.5
Norstar	Tak	8	1_	3	15	_73_	2.9
AVERAGES		7.5	1.0	0.9	3.5	87.1	3.6

On August 23/83 when 50% of the tops were down Royal M.H. was applied as a foliar spray at 16L/1100 L water/ha. The bulbs were pulled on Sept. 12 and on Sept. 26/83. The tops were removed and the samples stored in a forced air and temperature controlled storage at 25° C and a relative humidity of 70%. After 10 days the temperature was gradually lowered until it reached 1° C by Dec. 15. The relative humidity varied between 50 and 70% during the storage period which lasted 11 months. On Aug. 24 data was taken.

LONG TERM AVERAGES - ONION CULTIVAR STORAGE TRIAL

Cultivar	Source	# Years Tested	% Weight Loss In Storage	% Rot, Soft and Sprouts by Weight	<pre>% Marketable by Weight</pre>	Firmness
Simcoe	ARC0	3	7.0	6.3	86.7	4.17
Canada Maple	Sto	6	7.3	9.4	83.3	4.23
Taurus	Asg	6	6.7	11.1	82.2	3.72
Exporter	Sto	3	8.7	9.2	82.1	3.63
Sentinel	НМ	6	9.5	8.9	81.6	4.22
Mucker	ARCO	5	8.0	11.8	80.2	3.86
Trapp # 8	Tra	6	8.3	11.6	80.1	4.00
Copper Cache	FM	5	8.9	11.8	79.3	3.98
Fawn Preview	FM	6	8.3	12.6	79.1	4.17
Storage King	Sto	4	8.8	12.7	78.5	3.93
Autumn Pride	EJ -	3	8.0	14.7	77.3	3.33
Trapp # 6	Tra	5	8.6	14.3	77.1	4.02
Tamarack	Sto	3	8.3	16.3	75.4	3.87
Rocket	Asg	5	8.0	17.5	74.5	3.96
Mustang	НМ	4	8.8	17.4	73.8	3.95
Aries	Asg	5	8.0	18.8	73.2	3.54
Nutmeg	HM	4	8.0	21.1	70.9	4.03
Autumn Keeper	Cro	3	9.0	20.3	70.7	3.90
Garnet	Asg	5	8.0	21.4	70.6	3.34
Ontario M	Asg	5	7.8	21.9	70.3	3.70
Buccaneer	НМ	3	7.7	22.0	69.7	4.00
Autumn Splendor	Cro	4	8.5	22.5	69.0	4.00
Early Pak	EJ	4	9.0	26.2	64.8	3.73
Progress	HM	6	8.2	29.1	62.7	2.87
Russet	Sto	4	8.8	29.7	61.5	2.98

^{* 5 =} most desirable

LONG TERM AVERAGES OF SOME OF THE ONION VARIETIES TESTED IN OUR TRIALS

17	A	n	aı	/S
_	11	D	u	10

					LIA Days	
		# Years	LT	A	to	
Cultivar	Source	Tested	t/ha	b/a	Maturity	Firmness
Eskimo	Tak	3	56.0	1014	106	3.83
Norstar	Sto		63.7	1133	107	3.58
Pronto S	Asg	4	48.4	862	107	2.76
Super Spice	Sto	3 4 5	37.5	668	107	4.14
Autumn Spice	Cro	9	41.2	773	108	4.17
Columbia	FM	9 2 8	56.0	998	109	4.03
Simcoe	ARCO	8	48.6	865	109	4.24
Rocket	Asg	13	54.6	970	109	3.82
Early Pak	Cro	7	52.9	941	110	4.13
Fawn Preview	FM	11	51.2	912	110	4.05
Capable	ARCO	2	55.2	983	110	3.90
Trapp #6	Tra	12	54.1	962	110	4.01
Manager Colombia						
Garnet	Asg	12	54.1	962	110	3.77
Progress	HM	8	57.5	1024	110	3.70
Mirage	Sto	4	44.2	787	111	4.35
Tamarack	Sto	6	48.8	868	111	4.22
	1110		50.0	200		
Buccaneer	НМ	13	52.0	926	111	4.08
Mustang	НМ	12	51.1	910	111	4.05
Aries	Asg	9	52.0	926	111	3.98
Taurus	Asg	9	53.2	947	111	3.88
Nutmeg	НМ	10	51.6	919	112	4.29
	Tra	11	56.8	1011	112	4.23
Trapp #8 Golden Laker	FM	5	47.4	848	112	4.00
Imp. Autumn Spice	Sto	8	47.4	815	112	3.78
Tillp. Autuilli Spice	310	0	43.0	015	112	3.70

LONG TERM AVERAGES OF SOME OF THE ONION VARIETIES TESTED IN OUR TRIALS

LTA Days

		# Years	ı	_TA	to	
Cultivar	Source	Tested	t/ha	b/a	Maturity	Firmness
Sunburst	Asg	8	44.5	792	113	4.00
Abco	A&C	3 5	64.3	1145	114	4.13
Autumn Glo	Cro	5	54.0	956	114	4.02
Buccaneer Imp.	НМ	3	60.1	1070	114	4.37
Mucker	ARCO	8	55.6	990	114	4.05
Cooper Cache	FM	9	53.7	956	114	3.92
Sunglow	Cro	4	51.3	909	114	3.86
Sweet Sandwich	PET0	4	69.3	1233	115	3.97
Autumn Bronze	FM	2	40.0	713	115	4.00
Autumn Splendor	JHK	4	53.6	954	115	4.06
Ontario M	Asg	7	54.7	970	116	4.11
Coppermine	FM	2	61.9	1100	116	3.78
Canada Maple	Sto	15	56.0	996	117	4.23
Autumn Keeper	JHK	7	53.9	958	117	4.13
Spartan Era	Sun	7	55.5	987	117	4.13
Storage King	Sto	8	53.9	960	117	3.90
Gladitor	Sun	10	61.5	1094	117	3.76
Exporter	Sto	14	57.9	1030	117	3.76
Bronze Age	FM	6	60.8	1082	117	3.38
Spartan Sleeper	USDA	4	61.4	1093	118	4.11
Russet	Sto	6	66.1	1177	118	3.83
Autumn Pride	Cro	4	72.2	1285	119	3.73
Sentinel	HM	11	59.4	1057	120	4.25
Harvestmore	НМ	2	39.4	701	120	3.50

LONG TERM AVERAGES OF SOME OF THE ONION VARIETIES TESTED IN OUR TRIALS

LTA Days

		# Years	LT	ΓΑ	to			
Cultivar	Source	Tested	t/ha	b/a	Maturity	Firmness		
Canada Granite	Sto	5	45.1	803	121	4.04		
Cuprum	ARCO	2	64.8	1151	121	4.14		
Northern Oak	Sto	8	61.0	1085	121	3.80		
Gibralter	FM	2	59.7	1063	122	4.25		
Surecrop	НМ	4	60.7	1081	124	4.23		
Spartan Banner 80	Agw	2	67.8	1207	125	3.78		
Better Banner	A&C	2	59.2	1052	125	3.84		
Super Sleeper	HM	3	59.6	1060	126	4.20		

ONION CULTIVAR ADAPTATION TRIALS - 1984

Cultivar	Source	Days to Maturity	Stand / Meter	Tonnes/ha K		% # 1 Small	% Culls	Ave. Wt. of Bulb	Shape	Firmness	Size	Shape	Color	Skin Thickness	Skinning	Neck Finish	Score	Tip Dieback	% Seeders
Apollo Super Apollo Keepsweet II Spartan Banner	A&C A&C A&C A&C	107 107 118 118	23 19 26 27	64 47 78 78	1138 835 1394 1380	1 1 0 2	3 2 0 1	127 109 129 130	G G G	3+ 4 3+ 4-	3+ 4 4 4	3+ 4 3- 4-	3 4 4 4-	3 3 4- 4-	2+ 3+ 4- 4	4- 4 4- 4-	3.03 3.76 3.59 3.79	4.3 4.0 3.3 3.5	0 0 0 1
Superior ACX-842802 ACX-842810 Golden Rocky	A&C A&C A&C Agri	118 107 114 131	28 16 17 21	68 52 54 40	1214 931 959 704	3 2 0 7	5 4 5 4	114 138 146 89	G G G	4- 4- 4+ 4-	4 4- 4 4-	4 – 4 4 4	4 4- 4 3+	3 4- 3 4-	4 3+ 3- 4	4- 4 4+ 2+	3.73 3.73 3.76 3.53	3.9 4.5 4.2 4.5	0 0 0 0
Ramaron Agri-Seed 4-2 Agri-Seed #5 DEXP 1317-1	Agri Agri Agri ARCO	134 131 107 118	22 20 21 20	40 57 43 49	704 1007 756 869	3 3 3 2	9 3 3 2	87 131 96 112	G G G	3+ 4 3+ 4+	4- 4+ 4+ 4-	4 4+ 4 4+	3+ 4- 4- 4	3+ 3+ 3	4 3 3- 3+	2- 4- 4+ 4	3.33 3.76 3.61 3.80	4.5 3.7 3.9 3.2	0 2 0 0
Aries Taurus XPH 3366 XPH 3330	Asg Asg Asg Asg	114 114 124 121	20 27 24 25	51 67 65 78	904 1187 1152 1387	1 3 3 1	7 9 0 3	120 121 119 138	G G G	4- 4 4- 4-	3 4 4 4	4- 4 4	4 4 4- 4	3 4- 3+ 3+	3 4- 4 4-	4 4 4- 4-	3.49 3.91 3.81 3.77	2.7 4.3 2.9 3.5	0 0 0 0
XPH 3361 Golden Treasure CRK N23 CRK N50	Asg Cro Cro	124 118 114 112	23 16 24 21	74 63 44 52	1318 1118 780 918	1 1 8 3	1 10 6 1	139 197 90 111	G G G	4 3+ 4 4	4+ 3+ 4 4-	4 3+ 4 4-	4 4- 3 4+	4- 3+ 4+ 3	3+ 4- 4+ 3+	4 3 4 4-	3.90 3.37 3.94 3.67	3.3 3.5 3.3 3.7	1 0 0 2

ONION CULTIVAR ADAPTATION TRIAL - 1984 -continued

Cultivar	Source	Days to Maturity	Stand/Meter		Bags/a DT3	% # 1 Small	% Cu11s	Ave. Wt. of Bulb	Shape	Firmness	Size	Shape	Color	Skin Thickness	Skinning	Neck Finish	Score	Tip Dieback	% Seeders	
CRK N151 CRK N53 Autumn Beauty Autumn Gold	Cro Cro Cro	124 124 124 131	23 21 23 22	84 66 64 73	1497 1180 1145 1304	0 2 1 3	0 2 3 1	158 138 125 148	G G G	4 4+ 3+ 3+	4 4- 4- 4-	4- 4 4- 3+	4 4- 4- 3+	3- 4 3 3+	4- 3+ 3- 3+	4- 4- 3+ 4-	3.69 3.81 3.30 3.41	3.0 3.7 4.2 3.0	2 1 0 0	
Autumn Keeper Autumn Pride Autumn Splendor Brown Beauty '80	Cro Cro Cro	131 128 124 124	25 24 20 24	59 82 47 80	1056 1463 828 1428	4 1 2 1	5 3 8 0	111 153 108 146	G G G	4+ 4- 4- 3+	4 4- 4- 4	4 4- 4- 3+	4 – 4 – 4 –	3+ 4- 3+ 3	4 4- 3 3	4- 4- 4- 3	3.86 3.70 3.59 3.33	3.3 3.5 3.9 3.2	1 2 0 1	
Enterprise Spartan Bounty '80 Sunglow Sweet Sandwich	Cro Cro Cro	124 118 114 118	22 17 22 28	48 54 73 82	849 952 1290 1463	4 2 0 3	8 1 1 2	105 139 145 133	G G GT GT	4- 4 3+ 4-	4- 4 4 4+	4- 4 3+ 4-	4 – 4 – 4 – 4 –	4- 4- 3- 3-	4- 4 3 4-	4 4- 4 4	3.74 3.87 3.43 3.69	3.5 3.9 4.0 3.3	1 0 1	
Trapp #6 Trapp #8 Bullet Bronze Reserve	Cro Cro FM FM	118 118 124 118	23 20 20 20	56 66 67 51	1000 1166 1200 904	4 1 2 2	2 2 0 1	112 143 148 113	G G G	4 4- 4 4+	3+ 4 4- 4-	4 4- 4 4	4- 4- 4+ 4	4 4- 3 3	4- 3+ 3 3+	4- 3+ 4- 4	3.77 3.63 3.67 3.76	3.7 3.9 3.2 2.7	0 1 2 0	
Goldmine Columbia Mardi Gras X 225W2	FM FM FM FM	124 112 131 124	19 17 17 26	72 49 48 72	1276 869 862 1283	1 3 2 3	0 3 4 0	163 129 132 125	G G G	4- 4- 3+ 3+	4- 4- 4- 3+	4 4 4 4-	4 4 4- 4-	3+ 3- 3 3+	4- 3+ 3+ 4-	4- 3+ 4- 4-	3.73 3.53 3.53 3.53	2.7 4.0 4.3 3.7	0 0 0 2	

ONION CULTIVAR ADAPTATION TRIAL - 1984 - continued

Cultivar	Source	Days to Maturity	Stand/Meter		Bags/a CTT	% # 1 Small	% Culls	Ave. Wt. of Bulb	Shape	Firmness	Size	Shape Wao-	Color	Skin Thickness	Skinning	Neck Finish	Score	Tip Dieback	% Seeders	
X 227W2 X 228W2 X 231W9 X 232W3	FM FM FM FM	118 118 128 132	20 21 16 20	63 67 57 86	1125 1194 1021 1525	1 2 1 1	2 3 3 0	142 141 160 182	G G G	4 - 4 - 4 - 4	5- 4- 4- 4+	4- 3+ 4	4 4 4- 3	3+ 4 3+ 4	4- 4- 3 4	3+ 3 4-	3.77 3.63 3.49 3.81	3.3 3.7 4.0 4.0	0 1 4 0	*
Progress Hyb.Gra.Max.Prr Uno Grande HXP 2610	HM HM HM HM	114 86 86 103	25 31 27 22	69 3 0 68	1235 55 0 1214	3 67 52 1	1 14 48 3	122 22 14 139	G G	4- Mat 3+		4 very 4	4- early 4-	4- , eva 4-	3+ luate	4- d too 4	3.77 late " 3.63	3.7 4.0	0	
HXP 2612 HXP 2624 HXP 2618 XPH 2610	НМ НМ НМ НМ	114 118 131 105	23 21 19 25	68 58 62 76	1207 1028 1104 1345	1 1 1	4 1 4 2	133 119 146 136	G G G	4 4 4 4-	4 4 4 4-	4+ 4- 4	4 4+ 4- 3+	3 4- 3+ 4-	4- 4- 3	4 - 4 - 4 - 4 -	3.81 3.91 3.77 3.54	3.9 3.5 3.9 4.3	5 0 3 0	
HXP 3636 HXP 3633 Autumn Pride Enterprise	HM HM JHK JHK	114 121 124 114	23 25 25 24	66 59 81 67	1166 1049 1442 1187	1 2 1 2	1 5 0 1	125 109 143 121	G G G	4 - 4 - 4 + 4	3+ 4 4+ 4+	4 4- 4 4	3+ 4 4 4-	3+ 4- 4- 4-	4- 4- 4 3+	3+ 4- 4	3.51 3.79 4.04 3.86	3.7 3.2 3.5 3.9	1 1 1 0	
Fall Classic N 84 N 93 Rocky	JHK JHK JHK K.Br	124 118 128 144	26 23 22 21	69 58 62 41	1221 1028 1097 731	1 4 5 4	3 4 3 4	120 118 130 92	G G G	4 4 4- 4	3+ 4- 3+ 3+	4 – 4 4 – 4	3+ 4 4- 4-	4+ 4 4- 3	4 4 4- 4-	4- 4- 3+ 2+	3.76 3.91 3.59 3.43	3.9 3.3 4.5 3.9	0 0 1 0	

.../continued

ONION CULTIVAR ADAPTATION TRIAL - 1984 -continued

					KB ELD						UNI	FORM		SS						
	Source	Days to Maturity	Stand/Meter	Tonnes/ha	Bags/a	% # 1 Small	% Culls	Ave. Wt. of Bulb	Shape	Firmness	Size	Shape	Color	Skin Thickness	Skinning	Neck Finish	Score	Tip Dieback	% Seeders	3
Rhinestone ACX 5003R ACX 7982R Sweet Sandwich	K.Br NZ NZ PETO	138 118 114 118	16 23 22 20	26 46 49 78	469 814 876 1387	5 4 5 0	16 7 1 0	91 96 101 165	G G T	3+ 4- 4 4-	4- 3 3 4-	4 4 4 4+	4- 3 3 4	4 4- 3- 3	4- 2+ 3+ 4	3+ 4+ 4	3.67 3.43 3.43 3.77	3.5 3.5 3.5 3.9	0 2 0 0	
PSR 4083 Golden Beauty PSR 4183 Cima	PETO PETO PETO Sun	112 118 121 121	29 18 22 20	72 30 84 61	1283 531 1490 1097	1 5 0 2	8 26 8 6	120 101 178 143	G G G	3 3 3 3+	4 4- 3+ 3+	4 3+ 3 4-	3 3 4 4-	3- 2- 4- 4-	2+ 1+ 4 3	4+ 4 3+ 3	3.33 2.86 3.47 3.39	3.0 4.2 4.2 3.7	0 0 0 0	
Gladiator AUX 1241 EXP 5003 EXP 5004	Sun Sun Sto Sto	118 114 124 124	26 23 19 15	68 43 52 41	1214 759 931 731	3 2 2 2	1 8 3 8	117 89 125 131	G GT G	4 4- 4- 3+	4+ 4- 3 4-	4 – 4 4 – 4	4- 4- 3+ 4-	4- 3 3+ 4-	3+ 3- 4- 4-	4 4- 4- 4-	3.81 3.50 3.49 3.69	3.7 3.0 4.2 4.3	2 0 1 0	
EXP 5009 EXP 5010 EXP 5011 EXP 5023	Sto Sto Sto	131 131 131 138	20 18 13 19	58 29 54 55	1028 511 959 987	2 1 0 2	8 19 8 1	136 85 194 131	G G G	3 4+ 4 4	3+ 4 4- 4	3+ 4+ 4- 4	4- 4- 4 3+	3 4 3+ 3+	4- 3+ 4- 4	3 4 3 4-	3.29 3.94 3.63 3.76	4.2 3.9 4.2 4.0	1 1 11 0	

... / continued

ONION CULTIVAR ADAPTATION TRIAL - 1984 -continued

Cultivar	Source	Days to Maturity	Stand/Meter		Bag/a CDT31	% # 1 Smal1	% Culls	Ave. Wt. of Bulb	Shape	Firmness	Size	Shape	Color	Skin Thickness	Skinning	Neck Finish	Score	Tip Dieback	% Seeders
Ptarmigan EXP 5018 Mirage Imp. Autumn Spice	Sto Sto Sto Sto	114 114 114 114	21 26 21 21	59 67 60 49	1042 1200 1062 869	2 3 1	8 2 1 6	131 118 124 108	G G G	4 4 4- 4-	4- 4- 4- 3+	4- 4 4- 3+	4- 3+ 4- 4-	3 3+ 3+ 4-	3 3 3+ 3+	4+ 4- 4- 4	3.63 3.57 3.59 3.57	4.2 2.7 4.2 4.0	0 1 0 0
Northern Oak EXP 5029 Norstar VDH 82379	Sto Sto Tak VDH	128 124 114 124	25 23 18 20	96 69 62 66	1704 1221 1104 1180	0 3 0 1	5 1 2 2	176 133 152 146	G G G	3 3+ 3+ 3	3+ 4- 4- 4-	3 3+ 4 4	3+ 4- 4- 3+	4- 3+ 3+ 3	3+ 4- 2+ 4	3+ 4 4+ 3+	3.27 3.57 3.51 3.47	3.7 4.0 4.2 4.0	5 1 0 0
VDH 83397 VDH 83399 VDH 83407 Ambros	VDH VDH VDH VIL	110 114 114 131	25 28 23 19	54 76 64 50	966 1359 1131 883	2 1 1	1 4 2 3	95 123 122 119	G G G F	4- 4- 4- 4+	4 4 4- 3+	4 4- 4- 4+	4- 4 4- 3-	4- 3+ 4- 3	3+ 3+ 4- 3+	4 4- 3+ 4-	3.77 3.24 3.64 3.51	3.3 3.7 4.0 3.7	0 0 0
Spartan Banner '80 Spartan Sleeper Sweet Sandwich	USDA USDA USDA	131 118 121	19 20 23	57 68 87	1014 1214 1545	0	15 3 3	151 155 170	G HG T	4 4 4	4 4 4	4 4 4-	4 4+ 4	4 4 – 4 –	4 4 4	3+ 4- 3+	3.76 3.96 3.81	3.3 4.2 3.0	18 0 1

Note: See Main Trial for explanation of marks given.

RED ONION CULTIVAR ADAPTATION TRIAL - 1984

				I		1						1		1							
		,	1eter	/ha AIE	KB ELD	Sma11	S	of	t. of		SS	UNIF	ORM		Thickness	ğı	Finish		Dieback	ers	
Cultivar	Source	Days to Maturity	Stand/Meter		Bags/a	S L # %	% Culls	Types c	Ave. Wt Bulb	Shape	Firmnes	Size	Shape	Color	Skin Th	Skinning	Neck F	Score	Tip Die	% Seeders	
ACX 8444134 DEXP 110-4 DEXP 990-3	A&C ARCO ARCO	131 132 131	21 14 13	74 45 47	1325 800 842	1 0 2	4 9 0	DR RD	160 155 159	HG G G	4 3- 3+	4 3+ 4-	4 4- 4	4 4+ 4	4- 2+ 3-	3+ 3- 3	4- 3+ 3	3.81 3.19 3.39	4.7 4.2 4.0	1 0 0	
Red Delite 4 PHDR -1 4 PHDR -3	Cro FM FM	141 128 118	21 24 18	67 87 48	1187 1545 849	1 2 2	2 11 2	WR DW	138 176 122	G G TG	3- 3 3	4- 3 4-	3+ 3+ 3	3+ 3 4	3 2+ 3+	3 3- 3-	3- 3 3+	3.10 2.90 3.29	4.0 4.0 3.7	0 0 0	
Benny's Red Renared ACX 8073 T	HM NZ NZ	131 114 131	21 20 18	81 28 11	1449 490 200	0 2 3	3 31 69	R S SDW	169 87 93	G F F	4- 3- 3+	4+ 4 3+	3- 4+ 4-	4- 4 3	3- 3 2+	3+ 1 3-	4- 4+ 3+	3.44 3.33 3.09	3.0 4.2 3.7	0 0 0	
Lucifer EXP 5000 EXP 5014	Sto Sto Sto	138 110 144	20 23 15	61 22 14	1083 386 248	1 1 15	4 53 19	WUR S RDW	140 88 60	G F G	4 3 4	4 4- 4+	4 4+ 4+	4 4- 4	3+ 2+ 3	3+ 2 3-	3 4- 1	3.66 3.24 3.33	3.9 3.3 4.7	0 0 0	

Note: See Main Trial for explanation of marks given.

PARSNIP CULTIVAR TRIAL - 1984

In a further effort to find cultivars with better tolerance to diseases, 10 cultivars were seeded on May 9 in rows 6 m long, 50 cm apart, at 50 seeds/m and thinned to 24/m (7/ft). The trial was replicated three times. The tops were not clipped and no fungicide sprays were applied after Sept. 1 to promote development of disease. However, no disease symptoms were found on the foilage at harvest on Oct. 29.

The roots were placed in a temperature controlled storage at 1.5° C and a relative humidity of 98%. Data was taken on Nov. 20 and for diseases again on Jan. 24, 1985.

Expanation of marks given:

The cultivars are listed in order of acceptability; 5 = most desirable.

Size range 40-65 mm - $1\frac{1}{2}$ to $2\frac{1}{2}$ inches.

The yield of bushels/acre is based on 40 lbs/bushel, 10 t/ha = 225 bushels of 40 lbs/acre.

Type of culls: U = undersized, F = forked, S = split, N - navel

L/D ratio: = the length divided by the diameter, with the length being the distance from crown to the point where the diameter was still l_2 cm

The higher the mark the more slendor the root.

Shape of Root: The mark given is higher for those roots more uniformily filled and cylindrical in shape.

Acceptability: A mark given for eye appeal relating to appearance, color, smoothness, and shape.

Very few diseased roots were found at evaluation on Nov. 20, but more disease had developed by Jan. 24. 20 L means that 20% of the roots had small areas affected with canker, at 20 m these areas were larger and more severe.

Horizontal Lesions: Some defects observed on the roots were very similar to horizontal lesions in carrots and evaluated as such. 66 m means that 66% of the roots had developed lesions that were medium in number and size. These roots, so classified, were only boarder line marketable.

PARSNIP CULTIVAR TRIAL ~ 1984

Cultivar	Source	Stand/Meter	40-65 mm 40-69	over 65 mm day	Mkb. b/a	% Culls	Type of Culls	L/D Ratio	Shape of Root	Colour	Acceptability	% & Degree of Hort. Lesions	% & Degree Canker
Tip Top	FM	25	31.3	1.8	736	23	UF	3.7	3.9	4.0	3.8	28L	24L
Harris Model	ARCO	15	26.7	14.0	905	15	USN	3.5	3.7	3.7	3.8	48M	48L
Harris Model	HM	23	29.2	3.2	721	23	UN	4.0	3.6	3.7	3.7	57L	20L
Leda **	ES	9	5.0	27.0	712	6	NU	3.8	3.7	4.0	3.7	66M	14M
Albino **	ASM	19	28.5	23.8	1164	9	UF	3.1	3.2	3.8	3.4	32M	27M
All American	ARCO	13	12.3	20.2	723	24	FUN	3.0	3.3	3.7	3.2	28L	27L
All America	HM	23	30.0	11.3	919	15	UF	3.1	2.9	3.9	2.9	50L	20L
White Gem*	Sha	36	29.7	14.0	972	14	UF	2.4		3.9	2.8	39M	17L
Cobham Imp.Mar. **	Toz	11	10.7	13.5	538	25	SU	2.8	2.3	3.7	2.7	48L	59L
Fullback	ARCO	17	19.2	13.7	732	19	FU		2.0	4.0	2.5	79M	44M

^{*} Seed - received June 5

^{** 1983} seed